arXiv:1401.7852v2 [math.KT] 21 Jun 2014

Controlled Algebra for Simplicial Rings and Algebraic K-theory

Mark Ullmann

June 24, 2014

Abstract

We develop a version of controlled algebra for simplicial rings. This generalizes the methods which lead to successful proofs of the algebraic K- theory isomorphism conjecture (Farrell-Jones Conjecture) for a large class of groups. This is the first step to prove the algebraic K-theory isomorphism conjecture for simplicial rings. We construct a category of controlled simplicial modules, show that it has the structure of a Waldhausen category and discuss its algebraic K-theory.

We lay emphasis on detailed proofs. Highlights include the discussion of a simplicial cylinder functor, the gluing lemma, a simplicial mapping telescope to split coherent homotopy idempotents, and a direct proof that a weak equivalence of simplicial rings induces an equivalence on their algebraic K-theory. Because we need a certain cofinality theorem for algebraic K-theory, we provide a proof and show that a certain assumption, sometimes omitted in the literature, is necessary. Last, we remark how our setup relates to ring spectra.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Simplicial modules and control **8**

3 Waldhausen categories of controlled modules **14**

4 Algebraic K-theory of controlled modules **17**

5 Proofs I: control **19**

6 Proofs II: controlled simplicial modules as a Waldhausen category **22**

7 Proofs III: finiteness conditions **43**

8 Proofs IV: connective algebraic K-theory of categories of controlled simplicial modules **50**

9 Applications **62**

A Appendix: a simplicial mapping telescope **65**

1 Introduction

Controlled algebra is a powerful tool to prove statements about the algebraic K-theory of a ring R. While early on it was used in [PW85] to construct a nonconnective delooping of $K(R)$—a space such that $\pi_i(K(R)) = K_{i-1}(R)$—it is a crucial ingredient in recent progress of the Farrell-Jones Conjecture (cf. Section 9.2). Our aim here is to construct for a simplicial ring R, and a "control space" X, a category of "controlled simplicial R-modules over a X". It should be regarded as a generalization of controlled algebra from rings to simplicial rings.

The category of "controlled simplicial modules" supports a homotopy theory which is formally very similar to the homotopy theory of CW-complexes. In particular, we have a "cylinder object" which yields a notion of homotopy and therefore the category has homotopy equivalences. Waldhausen nicely summarized a minimal set of axioms to do homotopy theory, in [Wal85] he called it a "category with cofibrations and weak equivalences", later authors used the term *Waldhausen category*. He did this to define algebraic K-theory of such a category. Our category satisfies Waldhausen's axioms, which is our main result:

Theorem A. *Let X be a control space and R a simplicial ring. The category of controlled simplicial modules over X, $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$, together with the homotopy equivalences and a suitable class of cofibrations is a "category with cofibrations and weak equivalences" in the sense of Waldhausen ([Wal85]). Therefore, Waldhausen's algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$ is defined.*

The category has a cylinder functor and it satisfies Waldhausen's cylinder axiom, his saturation axiom and his extension axiom.

In fact, for G a group (for us all groups are discrete), there is a G-equivariant version of $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$ and of this theorem, which is crucial for applications to the Farrell-Jones Conjecture. The G-equivariant version of the theorem is not more difficult to prove than its non-equivariant counterpart. It is stated in Section 3.1 as Theorem C and Section 6 is devoted completely to its proof.

It is well-known that if a category has infinite coproducts, its algebraic K-theory vanishes. As $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ has this problem, we restrict to a full subcategory

of *bounded locally finite objects*, abbreviated *bl-finite* objects, $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$. It behaves from the homotopy theoretic point of view like finite CW-complexes. From the algebraic point of view it corresponds to finitely generated free modules. Corresponding to projective modules we define the full subcategory of *homotopy bl-finitely dominated objects* $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ of $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. An object X is *homotopy bl-finitely dominated* if there is a bl-finite object A and maps $r\colon A \to X$, $i\colon X \to A$ such that $r \circ i \simeq \mathrm{id}_X$.

Theorem B. *Both $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ are Waldhausen categories, with the inherited structure from $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. They still have a cylinder functor and satisfy the saturation, extension and cylinder axiom.*

Furthermore, the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R) \to \mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ induces an isomorphism

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)) \to K_i(\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R))$$

for $i \geq 1$ and an injection for $i = 0$.

The category $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ is an analogue to the idempotent completion of an additive category (cf. [CP97, Section 5]). For an additive category $\mathcal{A}$, the idempotent completion $\mathcal{A}^\wedge$ is the universal additive category with additive functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^\wedge$ such that every idempotent splits. We show in Corollary A.2.3 in the appendix that idempotents and "coherent" homotopy idempotents split up to homotopy in $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$.

Both $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ are basic ingredients needed to attack the Farrell-Jones Conjecture for simplicial rings.

1.1 Results of independent interest

In this article we need to discuss several topics which might be of interest. Here is a guide for these topics.

1.1.1 Controlled algebra for discrete rings We explain in Subsection 9.1 that the constructions here specialize to a construction of a category of controlled modules over a discrete ring. Readers who are interested in controlled algebra for rings can read Sections 2.2, 2.3 and the relevant part of 2.5, as well as 9.1. This gives in very few pages a construction of a category of controlled modules. We think our category is technically nicer than the model described in [BFJR04], because it is, e.g., functorial in the control space and has an obvious forgetful functor to free modules. Otherwise the categories are interchangeable.

1.1.2 Establishing a Waldhausen structure and the gluing lemma A basic result in the homotopy theory of topological spaces is the gluing lemma: assume that D_i is pushout of $C_i \leftarrow A_i \rightarrowtail B_i$ for $i = 0, 1$, where $\rightarrowtail$ denotes a cofibration. Assume we have maps $\varphi_A\colon A_0 \to A_1$ etc., which form a map

of pushout diagrams. If φ_A, φ_B, φ_C are homotopy equivalences, then φ_D is one. This is not obvious, as the homotopy inverse of φ_D is not induced by the homotopy inverses of the other maps.

Waldhausen made the gluing lemma into one of the axioms of a Waldhausen category. Proofs that a given category satisfies Waldhausen's axioms are usually omitted in the literature. Section 6 contains a detailed proof that our category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ satisfies Waldhausen's axioms. Because in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ the weak equivalences are homotopy equivalences, which one can define once one has a cylinder functor, the proofs should generalize to related settings, e.g., to Waldhausen's category of retractive spaces over X.

1.1.3 A Cofinality Theorem for algebraic K-theory Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the category of finitely generated projective modules over a discrete ring R and $\mathcal{A}$ the subcategory of free modules. It is well-known [Sta89, Section 2] that the algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{B}$ differs from that of $\mathcal{A}$ only in degree 0. A way to describe this is to say that

$$K(\mathcal{A}) \to K(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{“}K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})\text{”}$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence of connective spectra, where the last term is the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum of the group $K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})$ in degree 0. There are statements in the literature providing such a homotopy fiber sequence when $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy a list of conditions, e.g. in [Wei13, TT90]. We show that these miss an essential assumption and provide a counterexample to Corollary V.2.3.1 of Weibel's K-book [Wei13]. We also give a proof of such a cofinality theorem, in Subsection 8.2. Note that the above example of free and projective modules is just an illustration. To apply the theorem to finite and projective modules we would need to replace them by suitable categories of chain complexes first, as they do not have mapping cylinders.

1.1.4 A simplicial mapping telescope In topological spaces one can form a mapping telescope of a sequence $A_0 \to A_1 \to A_2 \dots$ by gluing together the mapping cylinder of the individual maps. It can be used to show that a space which is dominated by a CW-complex is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex, see e.g. Hatcher [Hat02, Proposition A.11]. We need an analogue of a mapping cylinder in our category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. Because it is a simplicial category, and the homotopies are simplicial, more care is required. We construct a simplicial mapping telescope in Appendix A. For this we define an analogue of Moore homotopies and provide the necessary tools to deal with them. Our results are summarized as Theorem A.2.2. We also define what we call a coherent homotopy idempotent in Definition A.1.1 and use the mapping telescope to show these split up to homotopy in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ as Corollary A.2.3. We only use a few formal properties of $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ to derive that result. We expect this construction to work in other settings to split

idempotents. But because we have no further examples of such categories we refrain from providing an axiomatic framework in which the theorem would hold.

1.1.5 Weak equivalences of simplicial rings and algebraic K-theory

A map $f\colon R \to S$ of simplicial rings is a weak equivalence if it is one on the geometric realization of the underlying simplicial sets. Such a map induces an equivalence $K(R) \to K(S)$ on algebraic K-theory. Usually this is proved by using a plus-construction description of $K(R)$, e.g., in [Wal78, Proposition 1.1]. In 8.4, we provide a proof which only uses Waldhausen's Approximation Theorem. The proof shows that f induces a weak equivalence on the algebraic K-theory of the categories of controlled modules, for which we do not have a plus-construction description. Note, however, that [Wal78, Proposition 1.1] provides the stronger statement that an n-connected map induces an $n+1$-connected map on K-theory. We currently have no analogue of this for controlled modules over simplicial rings.

1.2 The idea of control

Let us now sketch the construction of $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. For simplification we assume that G is the trivial group and X arises from a metric space (X,d), for example from $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the euclidean metric. The complete and precise definitions can be found in Section 2.

As a simplicial R-module M is *generated by a set* $\diamond_R M = \{e_i\}_{i\in I} \subseteq \coprod_n M_n$ if every R-submodule $M' \subseteq M$ which contains $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ is equal to M. The idea is now to label each of the chosen generators e_i of M by an element $\kappa(e_i)$ of X and require that maps respect the labeling "up to an $\alpha > 0$". More precisely, a *controlled simplicial R-module over X* is a simplicial R-module M, a set of generators $\diamond_R M$ of M and a map $\kappa^M\colon \diamond_R M \to X$. A morphism $f\colon (M, \diamond_R M, \kappa^M) \to (N, \diamond_R N, \kappa^N)$ of controlled simplicial R-modules is a map $f\colon M \to N$ of simplicial R-modules such that there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for each $e \in \diamond_R M$ we have that $f(e) \subseteq N$ is contained in an R-submodule generated by elements $e' \in \diamond_R N$ with $d(\kappa^N(e'), \kappa^M(e)) \leq \alpha$.

There are two problems with the objects here: first, we want to have the generators satisfy as few relations as possible. This is the case for *cellular R-modules*, when $\diamond_R M$ is a set cells of M. We define cellular R-modules in Section 2.1. Second, the boundary maps in M should behave well with respect to the labels in the control space. A quick way to obtain well-behaved boundary maps is to require that $\mathrm{id}_M\colon (M, \diamond_R M, \kappa^M) \to (M, \diamond_R M, \kappa^M)$ is controlled. This is a condition on $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa^M)$. Therefore, we restrict to cellular modules which are controlled. When X is a metric space, the category $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$ is the category of controlled cellular R-modules and controlled maps. The more general case, when G is not trivial and X a *control space*, is carefully introduced in Section 2.

The category $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$ relates to the categories of controlled modules of Pedersen-Weibel [PW85] and Bartels-Farrell-Jones-Reich [BFJR04] like how chain complexes of free modules relate to projective modules, or like how CW-complexes relate to projective $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. For M a simplicial R-module and $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta^1]$ the free simplicial abelian group on the 1-simplex define $M[\Delta^1] = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta^1]$. If $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa^M)$ is a controlled simplicial R-module, $M[\Delta^1]$ is also one, canonically. This is the cylinder which yields the homotopy theory in $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$.

1.3 Structure of this article

The proof of Theorems A and B are quite involved as we need to develop the entirety of the homotopy theory in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. Therefore, we split this article into two main parts. The first, Sections 2 to 4 provides only definitions without any proofs, such that we can state our main theorems as soon as possible.

In Section 2, we concisely review simplicial rings and simplicial modules, as well as the idea of control. We define the category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. Section 3 defines the Waldhausen structure on $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. We state the G-equivariant version of Theorem A as Theorem C in Section 3.1. Then we introduce the finiteness conditions of bl-finite, homotopy bl-finite, and homotopy bl-finitely dominated modules. Each of these gives us a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. We show (Thms. 3.2.3, 3.2.6, 3.2.9) that the full subcategories of these are naturally Waldhausen categories. In Section 4, we state that the category of bl-finite and homotopy bl-finite modules have the same algebraic K-theory, while the algebraic K-theory of the homotopy bl-finitely dominated ones differ only at K_0 (Thm. 4.1.3). This settles Theorem B. If $R \to S$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial rings we show (Thm. 4.2.4) that the categories of controlled modules $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ and $\mathcal{C}^G(X;S)$ have equivalent algebraic K-theory.

The second part, Sections 5 to 8 and the appendix provide the proofs and all intermediate definitions and theorems we need. There does not seem to exist in the literature an established way to verify the axioms of a Waldhausen category apart from trivial cases, although proofs are surely well-known. Therefore, we provide a reasonable level of detail. Most of the proofs are rather formal once we establish the Relative Horn-Filling Lemma 6.3.1.

We state some initial results on simplicial modules and controlled maps between them in Section 5. The results provided should be enough to make it possible for the experienced reader to verify all statements we made in Section 2.

Section 6 verifies the axioms of a Waldhausen category for $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ for the cofibrations and weak equivalences we defined in Section 3.1. The key ingredient is the Relative Horn-Filling Lemma 6.3.1. Further important results are the establishing of a cylinder functor 6.2, the gluing Lemma 6.6 and the extension axiom for the homotopy equivalences 6.7.

Section 7 discusses the different finiteness conditions. This proves the

results of Section 3.2, i.e., it establishes that each of the full subcategories of bl-finite (Thm. 3.2.3), homotopy bl-finite (Thm. 3.2.6) and homotopy bl-finitely dominated modules (Thm. 3.2.9) are again Waldhausen categories and satisfy all the extra axioms we listed.

In Section 8, we switch to algebraic K-theory and prove comparison theorems of the algebraic K-theory of the aforementioned categories. As an important part we prove a cofinality Theorem 8.2.1 for algebraic K-theory. It is stated as an exercise in [TT90] and in Corollary V.2.3.1 in [Wei13], but we show that a crucial assumption is missing there and prove the correct statement. Last, we give a direct proof (Thm. 4.2.4) that a weak equivalence of simplicial rings gives an equivalence on algebraic K-theory of controlled modules.

Section 9 gives some applications. We elaborate on the relation of this work to the Farrell-Jones Conjecture and to controlled algebra for discrete rings (Sections 9.1, 9.2). We give a construction of a nonconnective delooping of the algebraic K-theory of a simplicial ring (Section 9.3) and discuss the case of ring spectra (Section 9.4). Appendix A constructs a simplicial mapping telescope and proves Theorem A.2.2 about them, which is used in Corollary A.2.3 to analyze idempotents and coherent homotopy idempotents in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$.

1.4 Previous results

Pedersen and Weibel [PW85] first used controlled modules to construct a nonconnective delooping of the algebraic K-theory space of a discrete ring. Vogell [Vog90] used the idea of control to construct a homotopically flavoured category which provides a delooping of Waldhausen's algebraic K-theory of spaces $A(X)$. Unfortunately, Vogell does not provide any details on why his category is a Waldhausen category. Later Weiss [Wei02] gave a quick construction of a category similar to Vogell's one, but he also does not give a proof of the Waldhausen structure. Weiss' definitions inspired the definitions we use here.

With regard to discrete rings controlled algebra was developed with the applications to the Farrell-Jones Conjecture in mind. A fundamental result is that of Cardenas-Pedersen [CP97], who construct a highly useful fiber sequence of algebraic K-theory spaces, arising solely from control spaces. The most recent incarnation of controlled algebra is described in [BFJR04] which describes the category which is used in the most recent approaches to the Farrell-Jones Conjecture. Pedersen [Ped00] gives a nice survey of controlled algebra at the time of its writing.

1.5 Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a PhD-grant of the Graduiertenkolleg 1150 "Homotopy and Cohomology" and the SFB 647: "Space - Time - Matter.

Analytic and Geometric Structures". The author wants to thank Charles Weibel for answering a question which lead to the counterexample 8.2.5. The author also wants to thank Rosona Eldred and the referee for spotting several misprints in an earlier version of this article and many remarks that helped to improve the exposition.

1.6 Conventions

We sometimes use the property that for a diagram in a category



the whole diagram I + II is a pushout if I and II are pushouts and II is a pushout if I and I + II are pushouts. The dual version is proved in [Bor94, I.2.5.9], the third possible implication does not hold in general.

Equations and diagrams are numbered continuously throughout the article. If we refer to them by number we preface the number of the section or Theorem in which they occur, so 2.4.2.(3) is equation (3) in Section 2.4.2.

For us, a *Waldhausen category* is what Waldhausen in [Wal85] calls a "category with cofibrations and weak equivalences". This means, a Waldhausen category comes with two subcategories, the cofibrations and the weak equivalences which satisfy Waldhausen's axioms from [Wal85].

The set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ contains zero. All rings have a unit.

2 Simplicial modules and control

2.1 Basic definitions

We assume familiarity with the theory of simplicial sets. A good reference is [GJ99].

2.1.1 Simplicial modules We recall the definition of simplicial modules. Δ is always the category of finite ordered sets $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and monotone maps. A simplicial abelian group is a functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to Ab$. Similarly, a simplicial ring is a functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{R}ings$. There are obvious generalizations of the notions of left and right modules and tensor products.

For a simplicial set A let $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ be the free simplicial abelian group on A. For M a simplicial left R-module, define $M[A]$ as the simplicial left R-module $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[A]$. For M, N simplicial left R-modules define $\mathrm{HOM}_R(M, N)$ as the simplicial abelian group $[n] \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(M[\Delta^n], N)$.

2.1.2 Cellular modules We call the simplicial left R-module $R[\Delta^n]$ an *n-cell* and $R[\partial\Delta^n]$ the *boundary of an n-cell*. We say M *arises from* M' by attaching an n-cell if M is isomorphic to the pushout $M' \cup_{R[\partial\Delta^n]} R[\Delta^n]$. Like a CW-complex in topological spaces, a cellular R-module *relative to a submodule* A is a module M together with a filtration of R-submodules $M^i, i \geq -1$ with $M^{-1} = A$ and $\bigcup M^i = M$ such that M^i arises from M^{i-1} by attaching i-cells. We call the map $A \to M$ a *cellular inclusion*. The composition of two cellular inclusions is again a cellular inclusion. We prove this as Lemma 5.1.1. A simplicial left R-module is called cellular if $* \to M$ is a cellular inclusion. In the category of simplicial R-modules we use $\rightarrowtail$ to denote cellular inclusions.

We will always remember the attaching maps of the cells to M and call this a *cellular structure* on M. This gives and can be reconstructed from an element $e_n \in M_n$ for each n-cell of M, where M_n denotes the set of n-simplices of M. This gives a set $\diamond_R M \subseteq \bigcup_n M_n$ to which we refer as *the cells of M*. As an R-module, M can have many different cellular structures and we do not require maps to respect them.

Lemma 2.1.3. *Let $A \rightarrowtail B$ be an inclusion of simplicial sets. Let M be a cellular R-module. Then $M[A] \to M[B]$ is a cellular inclusion of cellular R-modules.*

If $M \rightarrowtail N$ is a cellular inclusion, then $M[A] \to N[A]$ is a cellular inclusion.

We give a detailed proof in Subsection 5.1.

2.1.4 Finiteness conditions Similarly to the case of CW-complexes or simplicial sets, we call a cellular module *finite* if it has only finitely many cells and *finite-dimensional* if it has only cells of finitely many dimensions.

2.1.5 Dictionary We compare the notions introduced in this section to the corresponding notions of discrete rings.

simplicial R-modules, R simplicial ring	discrete R-modules, R discrete ring
cellular module	free module
cellular structure	choice of a basis
cellular inclusion	direct summand with free complement
$M[A]$ (A a simplicial set)	$\bigoplus_{a \in A} M$ (A a set)
$\coprod_I R[\Delta^n]$	$\bigoplus_I R$
finite-dimensional	—
finite	finite dimensional

Table 1: Dictionary between simplicial rings and modules.

2.2 Control spaces

Definition 2.2.1 (morphism control structure)**.** *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. A **morphism control structure on** X consists of a set $\mathcal{E}$ of subsets $E \subseteq X \times X$ (i.e., relations on X) satisfying:*

1. *For $E, E' \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an $\overline{E} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E \circ E' \subseteq \overline{E}$ where "$\circ$" is the composition of relations. (For two relations E_1, E_2 on X their **composition** is defined as*

$$E_2 \circ E_1 := \{(z,x) \mid \exists y \in X \colon (y,x) \in E_1, (z,y) \in E_2\}.)$$

2. *For $E, E' \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an $E'' \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E \cup E' \subseteq E''$.*
3. *Each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is symmetric, i.e., $(x,y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (y,x) \in E$.*
4. *The diagonal $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$ is a subset of each $E \in \mathcal{E}$.*

*An element $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is called a **morphism control condition**.*

The topology on X is relevant for the finiteness conditions, see Section 3.2.

2.2.2 Thickenings For $U \subseteq X$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ we call

$$U^E = \{x \in X \mid \exists y \in U \colon (x,y) \in E\}$$

the *E-thickening* of X.

Definition 2.2.3 (object support structure)**.** *Given X and a morphism control structure $\mathcal{E}$ on X. An **object support structure on** $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is a set $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets $F \subseteq X$ satisfying:*

1. *For $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ there is an $F'' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \cup F' \subseteq F''$.*
2. *For $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an $F''' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F^E \subseteq F'''$.*

*An element $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is a called an **object support condition**.*

2.2.4 We call the triple $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ a *control space*. If $\mathcal{F} = \{X\}$ we often omit it from the notation. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a morphism control structure on X we can define a new morphism control structure on X,

$$\mathcal{E}' := \{E' \subseteq X \times X \mid \exists E \in \mathcal{E} : \Delta \subseteq E' \subseteq E\}.$$

In all applications we can replace $\mathcal{E}$ with $\mathcal{E}'$ and obtain the *same* category of controlled modules. Similarly, we can replace the object support structure $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}' := \{F' \subseteq X \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} : F' \subseteq F\}$ in all applications.

2.2.5 Maps A map of control spaces $(X_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \to (X_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is a (not necessarily continuous) map $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ such that for each $E_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ there are $E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ with $(f \times f)(E_1) \subseteq E_2$ and $f(F_1) \subseteq F_2$.

We give the most important examples, see [BFJR04, Section 2.3] for more.

Example 2.2.6 (metric control). Let X have a metric d. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \{E \mid \exists \alpha \text{ such that } E = \{(x, y) \mid d(x, y) \leq \alpha\}\}$$

is a morphism control structure on X.

Example 2.2.7 (continuous control). Let Z be a topological space and $[1, \infty)$ the half-open interval with closure $[1, \infty]$. Define *continuous control* morphism control structure $\mathcal{E}_{cc}$ on $X := Z \times [1, \infty)$ as follows. E is in $\mathcal{E}_{cc}$ if it is symmetric and the following two properties are satisfied:

1. For every $x \in Z$ and each neighborhood U of $x \times \infty$ in $Z \times [1, \infty]$ there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of $x \times \infty$ in $Z \times [1, \infty]$ such that $E \cap ((X \setminus U) \times V) = \varnothing$.
2. $p_{[1,\infty)} \times p_{[1,\infty)}(E) \in \mathcal{E}_d([1, \infty))$, where d is the standard euclidean metric on $[1, \infty)$ and $p_{[1,\infty)}$ is the projection to $[1, \infty)$.

Example 2.2.8 (compact support). Let X be a topological space. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_c := \{F \subseteq X \mid F \text{ is compact}\}.$$

We call $\mathcal{F}_c$ the *compact* object support structure on X. If X is a proper metric space (closed balls are compact), then $\mathcal{F}_c$ is an object support structure on $(X, \mathcal{E}_d)$. Also, if Z is a topological space then $\mathcal{F}_c$ is an object support structure on $(Z \times [1, \infty), \mathcal{E}_{cc})$.

2.3 Controlled simplicial modules

2.3.1 Cellular submodules We required cellular R-modules to come with a chosen cellular structure $\diamond_R M$. A *cellular submodule* is an R-submodule M' of M which is generated by a subset of $\diamond_R M$. In particular, we have an inclusion $\diamond_R M' \subseteq \diamond_R M$ induced by $M' \hookrightarrow M$.

Definition 2.3.2. *For a set of simplices $Q \subseteq \bigcup M_n$ define $\langle Q \rangle_M$ as the smallest cellular submodule of M containing Q.*

We abbreviate $\langle \{e\} \rangle_M$ by $\langle e \rangle_M$ or $\langle e \rangle$.

2.3.3 Modules over a space For a control space $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ define a *general module over* X to be a cellular module $(M, \diamond_R M)$ together with a map $\kappa_R \colon \diamond_R M \to X$. (We followed [Wei02] in the notation.)

Definition 2.3.4 (Controlled module)**.** *A controlled R-module over X is a general R-module $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa_R)$ over X such that there are $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with:*

1. *For all $e \in \diamond_R M$ and $e' \in \diamond_R \langle e \rangle_M$ we have $(\kappa_R(e), \kappa_R(e')) \in E$.*
2. *$\kappa_R(\diamond_R M) \subseteq F$.*

*We say M is **E-controlled and has support in** F, and often leave κ_R understood from context.*

Definition 2.3.5 (Controlled maps)**.** *A map $f \colon (M, \kappa_R^M) \to (N, \kappa_R^N)$ of controlled modules is a map $f \colon M \to N$ of simplicial R-modules such that there is an $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $e \in \diamond_R M$, $e' \in \diamond_R \langle f(e) \rangle_N$ we have $(\kappa_R^M(e), \kappa_R^N(e')) \in E$.*

*We say f is **E-controlled**. We say f is **controlled** if there exists an E such that f is E-controlled.*

2.3.6 Composition If $f, f_1, f_2 \colon M \to M'$ and $g \colon M' \to M''$ are controlled maps of controlled modules, then $g \circ f$ and $f_1 + f_2$ are controlled. See Lemma 5.2.1 for the proof.

2.3.7 The category of controlled modules $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$ For $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ a control space the controlled R-modules over X together with the controlled maps between them form a category which we denote by $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$. We will usually abbreviate it by $\mathcal{C}(X; R)$, $\mathcal{C}(X)$, $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{C}$.

If M is a controlled module over X and A a simplicial set then $M[A]$ is canonically a controlled module over X with control map induced by the projection $M[A] \to M$. This is functorial in A and M. We prove this as Lemma 5.2.2.

2.3.8 Cellular inclusion of controlled modules Define a cellular inclusion of controlled modules to be a map $(M, \kappa_R^M) \to (N, \kappa_R^N)$ such that $(M, \diamond_R M) \to (N, \diamond_R N)$ is a cellular inclusion of simplicial R-modules and the inclusion $i \colon \diamond_R M \hookrightarrow \diamond_R N$ satisfies $\kappa_R^M = \kappa_R^N \circ i$. This is the right notion of a subobject in $\mathcal{C}$.

If $A \rightarrowtail B$ is an inclusion of simplicial sets, then $M[A] \to M[B]$ is a cellular inclusion of controlled modules. If $M \to N$ is a cellular inclusion of controlled modules, then $M[A] \to N[A]$ is one.

2.4 A Hom-bijection

2.4.1 Controlled filtration on the HOM-space Let $M, N \in \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Define $\mathrm{Hom}_R^E(M,N)$ as the subset of maps $f\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ which are E-controlled. Similarly, define $\mathrm{HOM}_R^E(M,N)$ as the sub-simplicial set of E-controlled maps $M[\Delta^n] \to N$. Boundaries and degeneracies respect E, so this is a well-defined simplicial subset of $\mathrm{HOM}_R(M,N)$. Define $\mathrm{HOM}_R^{\mathcal{E}}(M,N)$ as $\bigcup_{E\in\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{HOM}_R^E(M,N)$.

2.4.2 Uncontrolled adjunction and its controlled counterparts If A is a simplicial set, we have an obvious adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M[A], N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R(M,N)) \tag{1}$$

in simplicial R-modules. This restricts to a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R^E(M[A], N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R^E(M,N)). \tag{2}$$

If A is a finite simplicial set, we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{E}}(M[A], N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R^{\mathcal{E}}(M,N)) \tag{3}$$

which is natural in A, M and N. The two bijections (2) and (3) are not quite adjunctions. Therefore, we refer to them as *Hom-bijections*.

2.5 G-equivariance

Let G be a group. (All our groups are discrete.) All notions above generalize in a straightforward way to G-equivariant versions:

2.5.1 G-equivariant cellular modules An *action* of G on a simplicial R-module M is a group homomorphism $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}_R(M)$. The action is called *cell-permuting* if it induces an action on $\diamond_R M$. An action is *free* if it is cell-permuting and the action on $\diamond_R M$ is free. If M, N are simplicial R-modules with G-actions ρ_M, ρ_N a map $f\colon M \to N$ of simplicial R-modules is *G-equivariant* if for each $g \in G$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_M(g)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_N(g)} \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

commutes. A G-equivariant map $L \to M$ is a *cellular inclusion*, if it is one after forgetting the G-action.

If M is a simplicial R-module with G-action and A a simplicial set then $M[A]$ has a G-action by the functoriality in M.

2.5.2 **G-equivariant control spaces** A control space $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is G-equivariant if X has a continuous G-action such that $gE = E$ (diagonal action) and $gF = F$ for all $g \in G$, $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A free control space is one where the action of G on X is free. The examples of control spaces in Section 2.2 have G-equivariant analogues, see [BFJR04, 2.7, 2.9, 3.1, 3.2].

2.5.3 **The category of G-equivariant controlled modules $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$** Assume $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a free G-equivariant control space. A controlled simplicial R-module with G-action over X is a controlled module $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa_R)$ with cell-permuting G-action such that κ_R is G-equivariant. A morphism $(M, \kappa_R) \to (N, \kappa_R)$ of such modules is a G-equivariant morphism $M \to N$ which is controlled over X. Denote the category of these as $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$. We use abbreviations like $\mathcal{C}^G$, etc. All further definitions of Section 2.3 transfer to $\mathcal{C}^G$.

2.5.4 **The G-equivariant Hom-bijection** The adjunction 2.4.2.(1) between $M[-]$ and $\mathrm{HOM}_R(M, -)$ and its controlled counterparts generalize to the G-equivariant setting. Denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)^G$ the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ of G-equivariant maps. Denote by $\mathrm{HOM}_R(M, N)^G$ the sub-simplicial set of $\mathrm{HOM}_R(M, N)$ consisting of G-equivariant maps. The adjunction (1) and the bijection (2) of 2.4.2 restrict to the adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M[A], N)^G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R(M, N)^G)$$

and the bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R^E(M[A], N)^G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R^E(M, N)^G). \tag{4}$$

Similarly, if A is a finite simplicial set (3) restricts to a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{E}}(M[A], N)^G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{sSet}(A, \mathrm{HOM}_R^{\mathcal{E}}(M, N)^G). \tag{5}$$

All of these are natural in A, M, N.

Most of the statements in this section are easy to check, so we will not provide proofs. The exceptions are proven in Section 5.

3 Waldhausen categories of controlled modules

In the following, $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is always a free G-equivariant control space which we abbreviate as X. We fix a simplicial ring R for this section. We put some additional structure on $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$, which we now describe.

3.1 $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ as a Waldhausen category

First we make $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$ into a Waldhausen category. We will use the definitions of category with cofibrations, category with weak equivalences, cylinder functor

and the saturation, cylinder and extension axiom from [Wal85, 1.1, 1.2, 1.6]. We will give detailed proofs of the statements below in Section 6.

3.1.1 Cofibrations Define a map $f\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$ to be a *cofibration* if there are isomorphisms $\alpha\colon M' \to M$ and $\beta\colon N \to N'$ in $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$ such that $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha$ is a cellular inclusion. Note that α, β do not need to preserve the cellular structures, so the notion is independent of chosen cellular structures. The compositions of cofibrations is a cofibration. We also denote cofibrations by $\rightarrowtail$. If $A \rightarrowtail B$ is a cofibration and $A \to C$ a map then the pushout $B \cup_A C$ exists and $C \to B \cup_A C$ is a cofibration. If $A \rightarrowtail B$ has been a cellular inclusion, then we have a preferred choice for this pushout, in particular, it can be chosen functorially.

Theorem 3.1.2. *$\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ is a category with cofibrations.*

3.1.3 Cylinders Consider the simplicial set Δ^1, the *interval*. It comes with inclusions $i_0, i_1\colon \Delta^0 \to \Delta^1$ and a projection $p\colon \Delta^1 \to \Delta^0$. For M in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$, this induces the corresponding cellular inclusions $M \to M[\Delta^1]$ and a projection $M[\Delta^1] \to M$ which makes $M[\Delta^1]$ into a cylinder object.

Theorem 3.1.4 (Cylinder Functor)**.** *For a map $f\colon A \to B$ define $T(f)$ as $A[\Delta^1] \cup_{i_{1*}} B$. This is functorial in the arrow category and therefore gives a* ***cylinder functor*** *on $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ in the sense of Waldhausen [Wal85, 1.6].*

3.1.5 Weak equivalences Two maps $f, g\colon A \to B$ are homotopic if there is a *homotopy* $H\colon A[\Delta^1] \to B$ such that $H \circ i_{0*} = f$ and $H \circ i_{1*} = g$. This gives rise to the obvious notion of homotopy equivalence.

Theorem 3.1.6. *The subcategory of homotopy equivalences in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ forms a category of weak equivalences, in particular, it satisfies the gluing Lemma 6.6.1. It also satisfies the saturation axiom 6.3.2 and the extension axiom 6.7.1. The cylinder functor satisfies the cylinder axiom 6.4.2 with respect to these weak equivalences.*

Theorems 3.1.2, 3.1.4 and 3.1.6 immediately imply the G-equivariant version of Theorem A:

Theorem C (Waldhausen structure on $\mathcal{C}^G$)**.** *Let X be a control space and R a simplicial ring. The category of controlled simplicial modules over X, $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$, together with the homotopy equivalences as weak equivalences is a Waldhausen category. Therefore, Waldhausen's algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{C}(X;R)$ is defined.*

The category has a cylinder functor and it satisfies Waldhausen's cylinder axiom, his saturation axiom and his extension axiom.

This category is too big, it has an Eilenberg-Swindle which causes its algebraic K-theory to vanish. But it contains interesting full subcategories, which we discuss next.

3.1.7 A remark on the proofs The main tool for the proofs are the adjunctions of 2.5.4 and a careful analysis of the control conditions in these settings, often accompanied by an induction over the cells. Here is a prototype of such a proof. We need to show that we have horn-filling in our category. In particular, the following (simplified) lemma should hold.

Lemma. *Given a map $M[\Lambda^n_i] \to P$. Then there is an extension to a map $M[\Delta^n] \to P$.*

Proof. By the Hom-bjiection 2.5.4.(4), the situation is equivalent to finding a lift in the diagram denoted by $\dashrightarrow$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^n_i & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HOM}^E_R(M,P) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

But the simplicial set $\mathrm{HOM}^E_R(M,P)$ is in fact a simplicial abelian group, hence the lift exists by the Kan-property, i.e., it is fibrant. □

The general proofs are considerably more involved. We will devote Section 6 to them: cofibrations are discussed in Section 6.1, the cylinder functor in Section 6.2. We discuss a homotopy extension property in 6.3, which will settle the saturation axiom 6.3.2. If $f\colon A \to B$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $A \to T(f)$ is a deformation coretraction, which we prove in Section 6.4. In 6.5, we show that the pushout of a homotopy equivalence is a homotopy equivalence which settles the gluing Lemma 6.6.1 in Subsection 6.6. The extension axiom is treated in Subsection 6.7. A summarizing proof of Theorem 3.1.6 is given at the end of Section 6.

3.2 Finiteness conditions

We define full subcategories of $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ by specifying conditions on the objects.

3.2.1 bl-finite controlled modules Here we use the topology on X. Let $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa_R)$ be a controlled module over X.

Definition 3.2.2 (bl-finite)**.** *M is **locally finite** if for each $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U of x in X such that $\kappa_R^{-1}(U)$ is a finite subset of $\diamond_R M$. Then M is called **bounded locally finite**, or **bl-finite**, if it is locally finite*

and finite-dimensional. Denote the full subcategory of bl-finite modules by $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$.

Theorem 3.2.3. *$\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ inherits the structure of a Waldhausen category from $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. It satisfies the saturation and extension axiom and has a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom.*

Furthermore, cofibrations are isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ to cellular inclusions. The proof needs the Hausdorff-property of X. If X is a point, M is bl-finite if and only if it is finite as cellular simplicial module.

3.2.4 Homotopy bl-finite controlled modules

Definition 3.2.5 (homotopy bl-finite)**.** *$M \in \mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ is **homotopy bl-finite** if there is a homotopy equivalence $M \xrightarrow{\sim} M'$ such that M' is a bl-finite module. We denote the full subcategory of homotopy bl-finite modules by $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G(X;R)$.*

Theorem 3.2.6. *$\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G(X;R)$ inherits the structure of a Waldhausen category. It has a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom. The saturation and extension axiom hold.*

3.2.7 Homotopy bl-finitely dominated controlled modules

Definition 3.2.8 (homotopy bl-finitely dominated)**.** *An object $M \in \mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ is **homotopy bl-finitely dominated** if it is a strict retract of a homotopy bl-finite object. We denote the full subcategory of homotopy bl-finitely dominated modules by $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$.*

Theorem 3.2.9. *$\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X;R)$ inherits the structure of a Waldhausen category. It has a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom. The saturation and extension axiom hold.*

Homotopy bl-finitely dominated modules are equivalently characterized by being a retract up to homotopy of a bl-finite object.

We use the abbreviations $\mathcal{C}_f^G$, $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G$, $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G$, etc. Section 7 is devoted to the proofs that $\mathcal{C}_f^G$, $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G$ and $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G$ are Waldhausen categories.

4 Algebraic K-theory of controlled modules

4.1 Connective K-theory

Let us make explicit that we can define algebraic K-theory of the Waldhausen categories $\mathcal{C}_f^G$, $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G$ and $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G$.

Definition 4.1.1 (Algebraic K-theory of categories of controlled modules)**.** *Let G be a group, $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a free G-equivariant control space. Let R be a simplicial ring. Define the algebraic K-theory spectrum of the Waldhausen category $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ as the connective spectrum*

$$K(w\mathcal{C}_f^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R))$$

where K is Waldhausen's algebraic K-theory of spaces [Wal85]. We define similarly the algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G$ and $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G$. We set $K_n(w\mathcal{C}^G) := \pi_n K(w\mathcal{C}^G)$.

Remark 4.1.2. In [Wal85], Waldhausen defines the K-theory as a space and then constructs a delooping, i.e., an Ω-spectrum. This is what we use here, because for the Cofinality Theorem 8.2.1 it is more convenient to work with spectra. See also [TT90, 1.5.3].

Theorem 4.1.3 (Different finiteness conditions)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a control space and R a simplicial ring.*

1. *The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R) \to \mathcal{C}_{hf}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ is exact and induces a homotopy equivalence on K-Theory.*
2. *The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R) \to \mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ is exact and induces an isomorphism on K_n for $n \geq 1$ and an injection $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{hf}^G) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G)$.*

4.1.4 Proof of Theorem B Theorem B is a summary of Theorems 3.2.3, 3.2.6, and 3.2.9 for the Waldhausen structures on the subcategories defined by finiteness conditions, and of Theorem 4.1.3 for the comparison of the algebraic K-theory of these subcategories.

After we discuss a cofinality Theorem for algebraic K-Theory in 8.2 we prove Theorem 4.1.3 in Section 8.3.

4.2 Further results

4.2.1 Separations of variables Let pt the one-element set. The categories $\mathcal{C}^G(G/1, \{G \times G\}, \{G\}; R)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{pt}, \{\mathrm{pt}\}, \{\mathrm{pt}\}; R[G])$ are equivalent. Both are equivalent to the category of cellular $R[G]$-modules. The equivalences respect the finiteness conditions f, hf and hfd.

Corollary 4.2.2. *The algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{C}_{hfd}^G(G/1, \{G \times G\}, \{G\}; R)$ is homotopy equivalent to the algebraic K-theory of the simplicial ring $R[G]$.*

4.2.3 Change of rings For a map $\varphi\colon R \to S$ of simplicial rings we obtain an induced functor $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R) \to \mathcal{C}^G(X; S)$. It restricts to a functor on the bl-finite, homotopy bl-finite and homotopy bl-finitely dominated subcategories.

Theorem 4.2.4 (Change of rings)**.** *Let $\varphi\colon R \to S$ be map of simplicial rings which is a weak equivalence. Then φ induces a map $\mathcal{C}^G_f(X;R) \to \mathcal{C}^G_f(X;S)$ which is an equivalence on algebraic K-Theory.*

Theorem 4.2.4 is proved in Section 8.4.

5 Proofs I: control

Section 2 introduced our basic categories of controlled simplicial modules. Most results are straightforward to check. Therefore, we discuss only a few important lemmas and leave the rest to the reader. For the structure of a Waldhausen category on $\mathcal{C}^G$ which we introduced in Section 3 we will provide much more detailed proofs in Section 6.

5.1 Cellular structure

We start with a remark about cellular inclusions. Let M be a cellular simplicial R-module with filtration $\bigcup_i M^i = M$. Let $\sigma\colon R[\partial\Delta^n] \to M$ be a map of R-modules. By the adjunction 2.4.2.(1), this is the same as a map $\sigma'\colon \partial\Delta^n \to M$ of simplicial sets. As $M^{n-1} \rightarrowtail M$ induces an isomorphism in simplicial degree $\leq n-1$ and as σ' is determined by its image in degree $n-1$ of the simplicial set M, σ' and hence σ factors over M^{n-1}. Therefore:

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let B be a cellular R-module relative to A with cells $\diamond_R^{\mathrm{rel}A}B$. Let M be a cellular R-module relative to B with cells $\diamond_R^{\mathrm{rel}B}M$. Then M is a cellular R-module relative to A with cells $\diamond_R^{\mathrm{rel}A}B \cup \diamond_R^{\mathrm{rel}B}M$. In particular, the composition of cellular inclusions is a cellular inclusion.*

Let $A \rightarrowtail A_1 \rightarrowtail A_2 \rightarrowtail \dots$ be a sequence of cellular inclusions, then $\mathrm{colim}_i A_i$ is a cellular R-module relative to A. □

Lemma 5.1.1 will be used freely in the proof of the Lemma 2.1.3, which we give next. Let us repeat the statement.

Lemma 2.1.3.

1. *Let $A \rightarrowtail B$ be an inclusion of simplicial sets. Let M be a cellular module. Then $M[A] \to M[B]$ is a cellular inclusion of cellular R-modules.*
2. *If $M \rightarrowtail N$ is a cellular inclusion, then $M[A] \to N[A]$ is a cellular inclusion.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1.3. B arises from A by attaching cells (in the sense of simplicial sets). That is, $B = \mathrm{colim}_i B^{i,A}$ with $B^{0,A} = A$ and $B^{i,A} = \coprod \Delta^n \cup_{\coprod \partial\Delta^n} B^{i-1,A}$. $M[-]$ commutes with colimits, as it is a composition of two left-adjoint functors. Hence, it suffices to show the case of the inclusion $\partial\Delta^n \to \Delta^n$ of simplicial sets. Then $M[B] = \mathrm{colim}_i M[B^{i,A}]$ with

$$M[B^{i,A}] \cong \coprod M[\Delta^n] \cup_{\coprod M[\partial\Delta^n]} M[B^{i-1,A}]$$

and hence $M[A] = M[B^{0,A}] \to M[B]$ is a cellular inclusion of simplicial R-modules, as pushouts, coproducts, and (infinite) compositions of cellular inclusions of simplicial sets are cellular inclusions.

To show that $M[\partial\Delta^n] \to M[\Delta^n]$ is a cellular inclusion we do induction over the skeleta of M. For simplicity we only consider the case of one cell. Attaching cells of the same dimension simultaneously works in the same way. Assume L and M' are cellular subcomplexes of M and that M' arises from L by attaching a q-cell, for some $q \geq 0$.

We prove that

$$\varphi\colon M[\partial\Delta^n] \cup_{L[\partial\Delta^n]} L[\Delta^n] \to M[\partial\Delta^n] \cup_{M'[\partial\Delta^n]} M'[\Delta^n]$$

is a cellular inclusion. As M' arises from L by attaching a q-cell and $R[A][B] \cong R[A \times B]$ the characteristic map $(R[\Delta^q], R[\partial\Delta^q]) \to (M', L)$ gives pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[\partial\Delta^n \times \partial\Delta^q] & \longrightarrow & R[\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L[\partial\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & M'[\partial\Delta^n] \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R[\Delta^n \times \partial\Delta^q] & \longrightarrow & R[\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L[\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & M'[\Delta^n] \end{array}$$

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] & \longleftarrow & R[\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] & \longrightarrow & R[\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R[\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^q] & \longleftarrow & R[\partial\Delta^n \times \partial\Delta^q] & \longrightarrow & R[\Delta^n \times \partial\Delta^q] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_3} \\ M[\partial\Delta^n] & \longleftarrow & L[\partial\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & L[\Delta^n] \end{array} \quad . \tag{6}$$

The lower vertical maps come from the attaching data of the q-cell, namely τ_1 comes from the q-cell $R[\Delta^q] \to M$ and τ_2, τ_3 from the boundary $R[\partial\Delta^q] \to L$. The other maps are the obvious inclusions.

We can form the pushouts of the columns of Diagram (6), which yields the diagram $M[\partial\Delta^n] \leftarrow M'[\partial\Delta^n] \to M'[\Delta^n]$, whose pushout is the target of φ. If we form the pushouts of the rows of Diagram (6) we obtain the diagram

$$M[\partial\Delta^n] \cup_{L[\partial\Delta^n]} L[\Delta^n] \xleftarrow{\alpha} R[\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^q \cup \Delta^n \times \partial\Delta^q] \xrightarrow{\beta} R[\Delta^n \times \Delta^q]$$

whose pushout is therefore isomorphic to the target of φ. Also, β is a cellular inclusion because $R[-]$ commutes with pushouts. Hence, φ is a pushout of a cellular inclusion and therefore itself cellular. Thus by induction and taking a colimit over q the map $M[\partial\Delta^n] \to M[\Delta^n]$ is cellular.

The second part is now easier. It suffices to consider the case where N arises from M by attaching a single R-cell, as $M \mapsto M[A]$ commutes with pushouts. If we attach a q-cell to M to obtain N we can obtain the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[\partial\Delta^n][A] & \longrightarrow & M[A] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau'} \\ R[\Delta^n][A] & \longrightarrow & N[A] \end{array}$$

and the τ is a cellular inclusion by the previous argument. Therefore, τ' is a cellular inclusion. This finishes the proof. □

5.2 Controlled maps

We denote the support of a controlled module by $\mathrm{supp}(M)$. We have the following precise statement about the control of maps.

Lemma 5.2.1.

1. *If $f\colon M \to M'$ is E-controlled and $g\colon M' \to M''$ is E'-controlled, then $g \circ f$ is $E' \circ E$-controlled.*
2. *If $f_1, f_2\colon M \to M'$ are E_1-, resp. E_2-controlled and $E_1 \cup E_2 \subseteq E_3$, then $f_1 + f_2$ is E_3-controlled.*
3. *If M is an E-controlled module, then id_M is E-controlled.*

Proof. Let $e \in \diamond_R M$. Then $\mathrm{supp}(\langle f(e)\rangle) \subseteq \{\kappa^M(e)\}^E$. Furthermore, for $e' \in \diamond_R \langle f(e)\rangle$ we have $\mathrm{supp}(\langle g(e')\rangle) \subseteq \{\kappa^{M'}(e')\}^{E'}$. By minimality, we have

$$\langle (g \circ f)(e))\rangle_{M''} \subseteq \langle g(\langle f(e)\rangle_{M'})\rangle_{M''}$$

so the support of $\langle (g \circ f)(e))\rangle_{M''}$ is contained in $\{\kappa^M(e)\}^{E' \circ E}$.

For the second part, $\langle (f_1 + f_2)(e)\rangle \subseteq \langle \{(f_1)(e), (f_2)(e)\}\rangle$ and

$$\diamond_R\big\langle \{(f_1)(e), (f_2)(e)\}\big\rangle = \diamond_R\big\langle (f_1)(e)\big\rangle \ \cup \ \diamond_R\big\langle (f_2)(e)\big\rangle.$$

Hence, the support of $\langle (f_1 + f_2)(e)\rangle$ is contained in

$$\{\kappa^M(e)\}^{E_1} \cup \{\kappa^M(e)\}^{E_2} \subseteq \{\kappa^M(e)\}^{E_3}.$$

The third part is clear. □

We say that a map $f\colon M \to N$ of cellular R-modules is 0-*controlled* if it induces a map $\diamond_R M \to \diamond_R N$ and $\kappa_R^M = \kappa_R^N \circ f$. Cellular inclusions are 0-controlled. The name 0-controlled is a misuse of notation, such a map has the control of its image. However, $g \circ f$ has the control of g if f is 0-controlled.

Lemma 5.2.2. *Let (M, κ_R) be an E-controlled R-module. Let A be a simplicial set. Then $M[A]$ can be made canonically into an E-controlled R-module.*

Furthermore, each map $A \to B$ of simplicial sets induces a 0-controlled map $M[A] \to M[B]$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1.3 it follows that each cell e of $M[A]$ arises from exactly one cell $p(e)$ of M. Define $\kappa^{M[A]}(e) := \kappa^M(e)$. It makes $M[A]$ into an E-controlled module: for $e \in M[A]$ we have $p(e) \in \diamond_R M$. Then $e \in \langle p(e) \rangle [A] \subseteq M[A]$, and $\langle p(e) \rangle [A]$ is supported on $\{\kappa(e)\}^E$. This shows the first part.

Another way to describe the control map is to note that the map $M[A] \to M[\mathrm{pt}] \cong M$ is 0-controlled. A cell of M is given by a map $R[\Delta^n] \to M$. Hence, for each cell of M we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M[A] & \xrightarrow{f} & M[B] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R[\Delta^n][A] & \longrightarrow & R[\Delta^n][B] \end{array}$$

which shows that f maps cells to cells. As f commutes with the map to $M[\mathrm{pt}]$ this shows that f is 0-controlled. □

6 Proofs II: controlled simplicial modules as a Waldhausen category

In this section we establish that $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ is indeed a Waldhausen category, thus proving all the claims we made in Subsection 3.1. In particular, we prove Theorem 3.1.6, which says that the homotopy equivalences form a class of weak equivalences for $\mathcal{C}^G$. We use the definitions from 3.1 without further notice.

If the category $\mathcal{C}^G$ were a subcategory of cofibrant objects of a Quillen model category, the we would basically obtain the structure of a Waldhausen category for free (cf. [GJ99, Proposition II.8.1] and Section 6.6). Many examples in the literature are of that form, e.g. chain complexes [TT90, 1.2.13] or Waldhausen's retractive spaces over a space [Wal85]. Unfortunately, there does not seem to be a suitable Quillen model category which contains our category of controlled modules. In fact, neither general pushouts nor infinite unions exist in general in any of our categories of controlled modules.

Therefore, we prove all results directly. The hardest part is to prove the gluing Lemma 6.6.1. We follow a strategy the author learned from a proof of Waldhausen of the gluing lemma for topological spaces.

We expect the experienced reader to be able to fill gaps easily, but otherwise refer to the author's thesis [Ull11]. Note that the thesis works with a slightly different definition of controlled module.

6.1 Cofibrations

We assume familiarity with Section 1.1 to 1.6 of [Wal85] and use the language from there freely.

Lemma 6.1.1 (Pushouts along cellular inclusions)**.** *Let $(A, \kappa_R^A) \to (B, \kappa_R^B)$ be a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$, let $f\colon (A, \kappa_R^A) \to (C, \kappa_R^C)$ be any controlled map in $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$. The pushout $D := C \cup_A B$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow \\ C & \rightarrowtail & D \end{array}$$

of simplicial R-modules can be chosen canonically and, furthermore, it has a canonical structure of an object (D, κ_R^D) in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Furthermore, $(C, \kappa_R^C) \to (D, \kappa_R^D)$ is a cellular inclusion.

Hence, $\mathcal{C}^G$ has canonical pushouts along cellular inclusions.

Remark 6.1.2. Being canonical should mean that for each diagram there is a *preferred choice* of the pushout, only depending on the diagram. In general for a cofibration $A \rightarrowtail M$ there is no preferred choice of a cellular inclusion isomorphic to it. Having canonical pushouts along cellular inclusions allows us to define a cylinder functor in Subsection 6.2 which does not depend on making choice for each diagram. In particular, we know the control conditions involved.

Proof of Lemma 6.1.1. The category of simplicial R-modules can be equipped with canonical pushouts. For example, in the above situation one could form the coproduct of B and C and divide out the relations from A. We discuss the cellular structure.

Let e be a cell in B not in A with attaching map α. This gives a cell in D with attaching map $f \circ \alpha$. This way one shows that $C \to D$ is cellular, and therefore so is D. Hence, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\diamond_R D \cong \diamond_R C \cup (\diamond_R B \smallsetminus \diamond_R A).$$

Define $\kappa_R^D\colon \diamond_R D \to X$ as the composition of this isomorphism with the map $\kappa_R^C \cup \kappa_R^B\colon \diamond_R C \cup (\diamond_R B \smallsetminus \diamond_R A) \to X$. This produces the control conditions of Table 2. As φ is G-equivariant, D is a controlled G-equivariant cellular R-module. □

control conditions we have	
A, B	E_B-controlled
C	E_C-controlled
f	E_f-controlled
g_C, g_B	E-controlled



control conditions we get	
D	$E_C \cup E_f \circ E_B$-controlled
$\widetilde{f}$	$E_f \circ E_B$-controlled
g	E-controlled

Table 2: Control conditions on pushouts along cellular inclusions in $\mathcal{C}^G$.

There are no canonical pushouts along cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^G$, but as cofibrations are isomorphic to cellular inclusions, pushouts along cofibrations also exist in $\mathcal{C}^G$.

6.1.3 The subcategory of cofibrations By Lemma 6.1.1 the composition of cofibrations is again a cofibration. Isomorphisms are cofibrations and the map $* \to M$ from the trivial module to any controlled module M is a cofibration. Lemma 6.1.1 immediately implies that pushouts along cofibrations exist. We have just verified:

Theorem 3.1.2. *$\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$ is a category with cofibrations.*

Note that Lemma 6.1.1 implies, in particular, that for $A, B \in \mathcal{C}^G$ the coproduct $A \vee B$ in $\mathcal{C}^G$ exists. In our setting a retract of a cofibration might not be a cofibration in general, as pushouts along such maps do not need to be cellular. This is already true for discrete rings and free modules.

6.2 The cylinder functor

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.1.4.

Theorem 3.1.4 (Cylinder functor)**.** *For a map $f\colon A \to B$ define*

$$T(f) := A[\Delta^1] \cup_{i_{1*}} B.$$

*This is functorial in the arrow category and therefore gives a **cylinder functor** on $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$ in the sense of Waldhausen [Wal85, 1.6].*

If we need to refer to the object $T(f)$, we sometimes call it the *mapping cylinder* of f. T gives a functor from $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$, the category of arrows in $\mathcal{C}^G$, into diagrams in $\mathcal{C}^G$, taking $f\colon A \to B$ to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & T(f) & \xleftarrow{\iota_1} & B \\ & {\scriptstyle f}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & \\ & & B & & \end{array} . \tag{7}$$

Here ι_0 is called the *front inclusion*, ι_1 is called the *back inclusion* and p is called the *projection*. Waldhausen requires the following two axioms to be satisfied.

1. (Cyl 1) Front and back inclusion assemble to an exact functor
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G \\ f &\mapsto \big(\iota_0 \vee \iota_1 \colon A \vee B \rightarrowtail T(f)\big). \end{aligned}$$
2. (Cyl 2) $T(* \to A) = A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{C}^G$ and the projection and the back inclusion are the identity map on A.

Here $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ is the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ with objects the cofibrations. Both can be made into categories with cofibrations, with the cofibrations of $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ and the notion of an exact functor as in [Wal85, 1.1]. We use the notion $A \vee B$ from [Wal85] for the coproduct of A and B.

Waldhausen first defines weak equivalences and then defines the cylinder functor. We proceed in the opposite order.

(Cyl 2) is directly verified using $*[\Delta^1] = *$ and choosing the right canonical pushouts along $* \to *$.

Lemma 6.2.1. *Front and back inclusion give a functor* $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G \to \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$,
$$f \mapsto (A \vee B \rightarrowtail T(f)).$$

Proof. This proof is a template for later proofs. The only thing to show is that $A \vee B \to T(f)$ is a cellular inclusion. Consider the diagram



Here $A \vee A \rightarrowtail A[\Delta^1]$ is the cellular inclusiona
$$\iota_0 \vee \iota_1 \colon A[0] \vee A[1] = A[0 \amalg 1] \rightarrowtail A[\Delta^1].$$
We claim that every possible square is a pushout along a cellular inclusion. I is a pushout square by definition, as well as I + II. It follows that II is one. Furthermore, II + III is a pushout square by definition of $T(f)$, so III is one. Hence, the lower map $A \vee B \to T(f)$ is a cellular inclusion by Lemma 6.1.1. □

Lemma 6.2.2. *The functor of Lemma 6.2.1 is exact.*

Proof. We need to show that the functor respects the structure of a category with cofibrations. Pushouts and the zero object are defined pointwise in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ and $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$. Therefore, $A \vee B$ and $T(f)$ commute with pushouts. So we only have to show that the functor maps cofibrations to cofibrations.

Let us briefly recall the cofibrations in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ and $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$, cf. [Wal85, Lemma 1.1.1]. For notation let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \tag{8}$$

be a map in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ from $A \to B$ to $A' \to B'$. It is a cofibration in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ if both horizontal maps are cofibrations.

The category $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ is the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ with objects being the cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Hence, if f and f' are cofibrations, the diagram also shows a map in $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$. It is a cofibration in $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ if $A \to A'$ and $A' \cup_A B \to B'$ are cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^G$. (It follows that $B \to B'$ is a cofibration.) See [Wal85, Lemma 1.1.1] for details and a proof that the composition of cofibrations in $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ is again a cofibration.

We have to show that for a map (8) which is a cofibration in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ the maps $A \vee B \to A' \vee B'$ and $(A' \vee B') \cup_{A \vee B} T(f) \to T(f')$ are cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^G$. As functors respect isomorphisms we can assume that all cofibrations are cellular inclusions.

Assume we have a diagram (8) where the vertical maps are cellular inclusions. We can factor (8) into

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \overset{\mathrm{id}}{=\!=} & A & \longrightarrow & A' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' & \overset{\mathrm{id}}{=\!=} & B' \end{array} .$$

It suffices to check each map individually. The map $A \vee B \to A \vee B'$ is a pushout along the cofibration $B \to B'$, similarly, for $A \vee B' \to A' \vee B'$. Hence, both are cofibrations.

Recalling that by definition $T(f)$ is the pushout $B \cup_f A[\Delta^1]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A[\Delta^1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & T(f) \end{array}$$

we see that $T(f^*)$ is the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & T(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & T(f^*) \end{array}$$

and hence (by "canceling A" by a similar pushout argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.2.1) it is the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \vee B & \longrightarrow & T(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \vee B' & \longrightarrow & T(f^*) \end{array}$$

so the map $(A \vee B') \cup_{A \vee B} T(f) \to T(f^*)$ is an isomorphism and therefore a cellular inclusion. Using the canceling argument for B' we can write the other map

$$(A' \vee B') \cup_{A \vee B'} T(f^*) \to T(f')$$

as

$$A' \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{f^*} B' \to A'[\Delta^1] \cup_{f'} B'. \tag{9}$$

Here the first object is a cylinder where we glued in spaces at both sides. But because $A \rightarrowtail A'$ is a cellular inclusion so is

$$A'[0] \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} A'[1] \rightarrowtail A'[\Delta^1].$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A[1] & \rightarrowtail & A'[0] \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^* & & A'[1] & \rightarrowtail & A'[0] \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} A'[1] \rightarrowtail A'[\Delta^1] \\ & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow \\ & \searrow & B' & \rightarrowtail & A'[0] \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{f^*} B' \xrightarrow{\tau} A'[\Delta^1] \cup_{f'} B' \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where every square and, in particular, the lower right one is a pushout (by the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6.2.1). The map τ is the same as the map (9). Hence, using Lemma 6.1.1 one last time it follows that the map (9) is a cellular inclusion. □

Weak equivalences in $\mathrm{Ar}\mathcal{C}^G$ and $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{C}^G$ are defined pointwise. As $A \to A[\Delta^1]$ respects homotopy equivalences, the cylinder functor respects homotopy equivalences, too. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1.4.

6.3 The homotopy extension property

We want to prove the gluing lemma for the homotopy equivalences in $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. The main ingredient is the relative homotopy extension property, which we will prove first. Recall that the ith horn $\Lambda^n_i \subseteq \Delta^n$ is $\partial\Delta^n$ minus the ith face, see e.g. [GJ99, I.1, p. 6].

Lemma 6.3.1 (Relative Horn-Filling)**.** *Let $M, P \in \mathcal{C}^G$. Let A be a cellular submodule of M, let $\Lambda^n_i \subseteq \Delta^n$ be a horn. Any controlled maps $A[\Delta^n] \to P$ and $M[\Lambda^n_i] \to P$ which agree on $A[\Lambda^n_i]$ can be extended to a controlled map $M[\Delta^n] \to P$.*

If M is E_M-controlled and both maps to P are E_f-controlled, then the extended map can be chosen to be $E_f \circ E_M$-controlled.

Proof. First we prove the claim of the lemma if $G = \{1\}$. It suffices to produce a controlled retraction $r\colon M[\Delta^n] \to M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{A[\Lambda^n_i]} A[\Delta^n]$ with section the inclusion.

Let $B_k := A \cup M_k$, where M_k is the submodule of M generated by all cells of dimension $\leq k$. We do induction over k. We assume the following induction hypothesis:

1. There is a retraction $g_k\colon M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_k[\Lambda^n_i]} B_k[\Delta^n] \to M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{A[\Lambda^n_i]} A[\Delta^n]$.
2. For each $e_0 \in \diamond_R M$ the map g_k restricts to

$$\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \;\cap\; \big(M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_k[\Lambda^n_i]} B_k[\Delta^n]\big) \xrightarrow{g_k} \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \;\cap\; \big(M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{A[\Lambda^n_i]} A[\Delta^n]\big) \quad (11)$$

The second condition is needed to keep track of the control condition during the induction. It is important that the condition holds for all cells of M and not only those from B_k. We abbreviate $N_k := \big(M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_k[\Lambda^n_i]} B_k[\Delta^n]\big)$. Hence, g_k is a map $N_k \to N_{-1}$.

For $k = -1$ the induction hypothesis is satisfied because $g_{-1} = \mathrm{id}$.

So assume the induction hypothesis holds for $k-1$. As B_k arises from B_{k-1} by attaching cells of dimension k, it suffices to treat the case of attaching one cell e, as cells of the same dimension can be attached independently.

We obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{R}[\Delta^k \times \Lambda^n_i \cup \partial\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{\partial e_*} & B_k[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_{k-1}[\Lambda^n_i]} B_{k-1}[\Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} & N_{-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow_{\tau} & \\ \underline{R}[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{\qquad e_* \qquad} & B_k[\Delta^n] & & \end{array} , \quad (12)$$

where we want to construct the dashed arrow τ. Given such a map τ_i for each k-cell e_i, we define $g_k \colon N_k \to N_{-1}$ as the union of $g_{k-1} \colon N_{k-1} \to N_{-1}$ and the maps τ_i.

In (12), $\underline{R}$ is the module $R[\Delta^0]$ over $\kappa^M(e)$. But as the square is a pushout it suffices to find a lift $\underline{R}[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] \to N_{-1}$. The map e_* factors over $\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]$, as does ∂e_*. By the induction hypothesis, $g_{k-1} \circ \partial e_* \subseteq \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$. It suffices to find a lift $\underline{R}[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] \to \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$ in order to construct τ.

By the Hom-bijection 2.5.4.(5), it suffices to find a lift in the diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^k \times \Lambda^n_i \cup \partial\Delta^k \times \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HOM}^{\mathcal{E}}_R(\underline{R}, \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & \nearrow & \\ \Delta^k \times \Delta^n & & \end{array} . \tag{13}$$

But

$$\mathrm{HOM}^{\mathcal{E}}_R(\underline{R}, \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) = \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$$

as $\langle e \rangle_M$ has bounded support. Such a lift exists because first, $\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$ is an abelian group and hence fibrant, and second, the vertical inclusion ι in (13) arises by repeated horn-filling (cf. [GJ99, p. 18/19]).

This gives a lift $\underline{R}[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] \to \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$, which induces τ and hence provides g_k. Note that g_k restricts to a map

$$\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \to \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1} \tag{14}$$

which is exactly the second condition of the induction hypothesis for k if $e_0 = e \in \diamond_R M$. For general $e_0 \in \diamond_R M$ consider first the case $e \notin \diamond_R \langle e_0 \rangle_M$. Then $\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_k \subseteq \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{k-1}$ and the induction hypothesis follows from the induction hypothesis for $k-1$. Otherwise $\langle e \rangle_M \subseteq \langle e_0 \rangle_M$ and then g_k restricts to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_k &= (\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{k-1}) \ \cup \ \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \\ &\longrightarrow (\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) \ \cup \ (\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) \\ &\subseteq \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r := \mathrm{colim}_{k \to \infty} g_k$ yields the retraction, which has the property that $r(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \subseteq \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}$, so it is E_M-controlled when E_M is the control of M.

If $G \neq \{1\}$ we can choose the above lifts equivariantly, e.g. by constructing first a lift for one cell in a G-orbit and then extending equivariantly. This shows the general case. □

As a special case it follows that cofibrations have the homotopy extension property. The usual arguments show that being homotopic is an equivalence relation. We obtain:

Lemma 6.3.2 (saturation axiom)**.** *The homotopy equivalences satisfy the* 2*-out-of-*3 *property. That is, assume we have* $f\colon A \to B$ *and* $g\colon B \to C$ *in* $\mathcal{C}^G$ *and two of* f*,* g*,* $g \circ f$ *are homotopy equivalences, then so is the third.* □

6.4 Cylinders and homotopy equivalences

We need a little bit more homotopy theory to proceed.

Definition 6.4.1 (Deformation retraction)**.** *Let* $i\colon A \rightarrowtail M$ *be a cellular inclusion in* $\mathcal{C}^G$*. We can consider* A *as a submodule of* M*.* A *is a* ***deformation retract*** *of* M *if there is a map* $r\colon M \to A$ *such that* $r \circ i$ *is* id_A *and* $i \circ r$ *is homotopic to* id_M *relative* A*.*

The map i *is called the* ***inclusion*** *and* r *is called the* ***retraction*** *or* ***deformation retraction****.*

For $f\colon A \to B$ the target B is a retract of the mapping cylinder $T(f)$ via the map $p\colon T(f) \to B$ from 6.2.(7).

Lemma 6.4.2 (cylinder axiom)**.** $p\colon T(f) \to B$ *is a homotopy equivalence. More precisely, is it even a deformation retraction.*

Proof. We only have to prove that $\iota_1 \circ p\colon T(f) \to B \to T(f)$ is homotopic relative B to $\mathrm{id}_{T(f)}$. Recall that $T(f)$ is defined as the pushout of $B \leftarrow A[1] \to A[\Delta^1]$. We see that $\iota_1 \circ p$ is induced by $p_1\colon A[\Delta^1] \to A[1] \to A[\Delta^1]$. It suffices to give a homotopy from the identity to $A[\Delta^1] \to A[1] \to A[\Delta^1]$ which is relative to $A[1]$.

But there is a well-known map $\widehat{H}\colon \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \to \Delta^1$ of simplicial sets inducing such a map. Thus the homotopy H which is induced by $\widehat{H}$ is a homotopy relative to $A[1]$ which induces the desired homotopy. □

For the next part we need a diagram language.

6.4.3 Describing maps by diagrams In the following, we will often have to describe maps of the form $A[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to B$, for $A, B \in \mathcal{C}^G$. We give concise ways to describe them. The simplicial set $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$ comes from a simplicial complex, so it suffices to give compatible maps on the 0-, 1- and 2-simplices. We use the pictures



etc. (15)

to denote simplicial subsets of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$ which are generated by the shown 1- and 2-simplices. A 2-simplex is in the subset if its boundary is. The dots are only drawn to specify the corresponding subset and are only in the subset if they are a boundary. As an example, we write a map

$$A[\Delta^1 \times \{0,1\}] \cup A[0 \times \Delta^1] \to B \quad \text{as} \quad A[\ \ ] \to B.$$

We can use the same kind of diagrams for other simplicial sets like $\Delta^1 \cup_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1$ and products of them.

If P is a subset of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{C}^G$ we want concisely describe maps $A[P] \to B$. We often draw diagrams like in (15) and write the maps on the simplices. We give examples to illustrate this.

Let $\alpha, \beta \colon A \to B$ be maps in $\mathcal{C}^G$ and $H \colon A[\Delta^1] \to B$ a homotopy from α to β. We can extend H to a map $A[\Lambda^2_0] \to B$ with a trivial homotopy on the first face and H on the second face. By horn-filling this extends to a map $A[\Delta^2] \to B$. The following diagrams show the obtained maps $A[\Delta^1] \to B$, $A[\Lambda^2_0] \to B$, $A[\Delta^2] \to B$, where Tr denotes a trivial homotopy and $\overline{H}$ the homotopy obtained by horn-filling:

$$\alpha \xrightarrow{H} \beta \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \alpha \\ & \nearrow^{\mathrm{Tr}} & \\ \alpha & \xrightarrow{H} & \beta \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \alpha \\ & \nearrow^{\mathrm{Tr}} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \overline{H}} \\ \alpha & \xrightarrow{H} & \beta \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Sometimes we omit the decorations for vertices, as they are uniquely determined by the decorations on the arrows, and draw dots instead. We usually omit the decoration for the 2-simplices as well, as the actual maps are usually less important for us. All of this works for more complicated simplicial sets as long as we can draw diagrams for them.

6.4.4 Rectifying homotopy commutative diagrams If we have the homotopy commutative diagram on the left below, we can turn it into a strictly commutative diagram on the right below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & {\scriptstyle h}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ & & P \end{array} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & T(f) \\ & {\scriptstyle h}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} \\ & & P \end{array},$$

Define $g' \colon A[\Delta^1] \cup B \to P$ as induced by the homotopy and by g. The back inclusion $\iota_1 \colon B \to T(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence and $g = g' \circ \iota_1$.

If $f \colon A \to B$ is a homotopy equivalence, then the two-out-of-three property implies that then $i \colon A \to T(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proposition 6.4.5. *If $f \colon A \to B$ is a homotopy equivalence, then A is a deformation retract of $T(f)$ via ι_0.*

We prove the proposition in the rest of this section. It is an adaption of the corresponding proof for topological spaces which the author learned from F. Waldhausen [Wal, pp. 140ff.]. Let g be the homotopy inverse of f, then we have a homotopy commutative diagram $\mathrm{id}_A = g \circ f$. By the argument above, we obtain a map $T(f) \to A$. This is the retraction r.

Lemma 6.4.6. *The composition* $s\colon T(f) \xrightarrow{r} A \xrightarrow{\iota_0} T(f)$ *is homotopic to the identity.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4.2, the composition $t\colon T(f) \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{\iota_1} T(f)$ is homotopic to the identity. So we pre- and postcompose s with t and obtain a map which is homotopic to s. This can be written as



with compositions identified as f and g. But $f \circ g$ is homotopic to id_B by assumption. We are left with



which is again t and therefore homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{T(f)}$. Being homotopic is an equivalence relation so s is homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{T(f)}$. □

The homotopy H of Lemma 6.4.6 does not need to be relative to A, but we can improve it as follows. We have $s \circ \iota_0 = \iota_0$ as well as $\mathrm{id}_{T(f)} \circ \iota_0 = \iota_0$ so on the endpoints H is relative to the cellular inclusion $\iota_0\colon A \to T(f)$. We want to make the whole homotopy relative to A, i.e.,

$$A[\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{\iota_0[\Delta^1]} T(f)[\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{H} T(f)$$

should be equal to $A[\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{p} A \xrightarrow{\iota_0} T(f)$.

Lemma 6.4.7. *Let* s *be the map* $T(f) \xrightarrow{r} A \xrightarrow{\iota_0} T(f)$*. There is a homotopy relative* A *from the identity on* $T(f)$ *to* s*.*

Proof. We use the diagram notation of 6.4.3. A is a retract of $T(f)$ and $\iota_0\colon A \to T(f)$ has the homotopy extension property. We will use this homotopy extension property to construct a certain map $T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to T(f)$ which restricted to $1 \times \Delta^1$ will be the desired homotopy from $\mathrm{id}_{T(f)}$ to s relative to A.

Note that s is an idempotent, i.e., $s^2 = s$. We use the notation from above. The proof will proceed as follows. We will prescribe the map $T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to$

$T(f)$ on the subspace $A[\Delta^1 \times \underset{\bullet \to \bullet}{\Delta^1}] \rightarrowtail T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1]$ and on the top, bottom and left part of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 =$, i.e., on $T(f)[$ $]$. Then we check that the two maps are compatible. This will give a map

$$T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\ \ \bullet\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \cup A[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\uparrow\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)$$

which can be extended by the homotopy extension property to the desired map $T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\uparrow\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)$.

Both maps will be constructed from the same map, which we describe first. Horn-filling gives for any map $T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\ \bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\ \\ \bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)$ a map $T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\ \\ \bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)$, in particular, we obtain for the first diagram below the second one, where $\overline{H}$ is the inverse homotopy. Extending this as in the third diagram below gives a map $G\colon T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to T(f)$.



Define the map $G_1\colon A[\Delta^1 \times \underset{\bullet \to \bullet}{\Delta^1}] \to T(f)$ as the restriction of G to $A[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1]$. Define the map $G_2\colon T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\ \ \bullet\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)$ as



(17)

so on the $\begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\ \bullet\\ \uparrow\ \ \\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}$-part it is the restriction of G, but on the upper part $\begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \ \ \ \bullet\end{smallmatrix}$ we replace the homotopy $\overline{H}$ by $\overline{H} \circ s$. This replacement is crucial for the proof.

We check that these maps are compatible. First $\overline{H}$ is a homotopy from s to id, hence $\overline{H} \circ s$ is a homotopy from s^2 to s; but $s^2 = s$ so it agrees with H on the upper left vertex. Second, restricted to A the map s is the inclusion $\iota_0\colon A \to T(f)$, hence $\overline{H} \circ s \circ \iota_0 = \overline{H} \circ \iota_0$. So G_1 and G_2 glue to a map

$$T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\ \ \bullet\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \cup A[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\uparrow\\ \bullet\to\bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f).$$

This map can be interpreted as a map $T(f)[0 \times \Delta^1] \to T(f)$ together with a homotopy on the submodule $T(f)[0 \times \{0,1\}] \cup A[0 \times \Delta^1]$. Using the homotopy extension property we obtain a map $T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to T(f)$. This map in turn defines a homotopy when restricting along $T(f)[1 \times \Delta^1] \to T(f)[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1]$ (which is $T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\ \bullet\\ \ \ \uparrow\\ \bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ] \to T(f)[\ \begin{smallmatrix}\bullet\to\bullet\\ \uparrow\nearrow\uparrow\\ \bullet\ \ \bullet\end{smallmatrix}\ ]$). This homotopy starts at the identity, ends at the map s and is the trivial homotopy on A. Hence, it is the desired homotopy. □

6.5 Pushouts of weak equivalences

Lemma 6.5.1. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in $\mathcal{C}^G$ where $A \to C$ is a cofibration and a homotopy equivalence. Then $B \to D$ is a homotopy equivalence.

This is a key result on the way to prove the gluing lemma for homotopy equivalences. We remark that almost exactly the same proof works if we assume that $A \to B$ is a cofibration instead of $A \to C$.

We can factor $f\colon A \to C$ into $A \rightarrowtail T(f) \to C$. Taking the pushouts along the cellular inclusion $A \rightarrowtail T(f)$ and along the cofibration $A \rightarrowtail C$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T(f) & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array} \tag{18}$$

and the induced map $Q \to D$ completes the lower square to a pushout square.

The following lemma shows that both maps $B \to Q$ and $Q \to D$ are homotopy equivalences, so their composition $B \to D$ is one.

Lemma 6.5.2. *In the situation of Diagram* (18) *the following holds.*

1. *The map $B \to Q$ is a homotopy equivalence.*
   (This uses that $A \to C$ is a homotopy equivalence.)

2. *The map $Q \to D$ is a homotopy equivalence.*
   (This uses that $A \to C$ is a cofibration.)

Proof of part (1). By Proposition 6.4.5, A is a deformation retract of $T(f)$ via the cellular inclusion $A \rightarrowtail T(f)$. One may check directly that then B is a deformation retract of Q. In particular, $B \to Q$ is a homotopy equivalence. □

Proof of part (2). Written out $Q \to D$ is the map

$$B \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C \to B \cup_A C$$

induced by $A[\Delta^1] \to A$. We have to construct a homotopy inverse for this map. We will construct a homotopy equivalence $A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C \to C$ and its

inverse which is relative to $\iota_0^A\colon A[0] \rightarrowtail A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C$, resp. to $j_A\colon A \rightarrowtail C$, hence glues along $A[0] \to B$ to the desired homotopy equivalence

$$B \cup_{A[0]} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C \xrightarrow{\simeq} B \cup_A C\ ,$$

as $M \mapsto M[\Delta^1]$ commutes with pushouts. Therefore, we have to construct for

$$e\colon A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C \to C$$

(induced by $A[\Delta^1] \to A$) maps

$$g\colon C \to A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C$$

and homotopies

$$H\colon C[\Delta^1] \to C$$
$$G\colon \big(A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C\big)[\Delta^1] \to A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= e \circ g & H_1 &= \mathrm{id} \\ G_0 &= \mathrm{id} & G_1 &= g \circ e \\ G \circ \iota_0^A[\Delta^1] &= \iota_0^A & H \circ j_A[\Delta^1] &= j_A \\ g \circ j_A &= \iota_0^A & e \circ \iota_0^A &= j_A\ . \end{aligned}$$

Using the homotopy extension property of the cofibration $j_A\colon A \rightarrowtail C$ there is a retraction $R\colon C[\Delta^1] \to A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C$. Define g as the composition

$$C \xrightarrow{\iota_0^C} C[\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{R} A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C.$$

We obtain $g \circ j_A = \iota_0^A$.

Define H as the composition $e \circ R\colon C[\Delta^1] \to A[\Delta^1] \cup_{A[1]} C \to C$. One may check that H is a homotopy from $e \circ g$ to id_C relative to A.

For the other composition consider the commutative diagram



where dashed map is the projection to $C[0]$. It is homotopic relative $C[0]$ to the identity. This gives a homotopy G from the identity to the composition $g \circ e$, using that R is a retraction for j. One may check that G is relative to $A[0]$.

This shows that e is a homotopy equivalence and therefore makes $Q \to D$ into one. □

6.6 The gluing lemma

We now prove the gluing lemma in $\mathcal{C}^G$. It is the essential ingredient to prove Theorem 3.1.6, which says that the homotopy equivalences are a category of weak equivalences for $\mathcal{C}^G$.

Lemma 6.6.1 (gluing lemma)**.** *If we have the diagram in* $\mathcal{C}^G$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} \\ B' & \longleftarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

with $A \rightarrowtail B$ *and* $A' \rightarrowtail B'$ *cofibrations and all three vertical arrows are homotopy equivalences, then the induced map*

$$B \cup_A C \to B' \cup_{A'} C'$$

on the pushouts is also a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. It it shown in Lemma II.8.8 in [GJ99, p. 127] that a *category of cofibrant objects* satisfies the gluing lemma. We recall that notion from [GJ99, p. 122]. It was first introduced by Kenneth Brown in [Bro73], where he treats the dual version.

A *category of cofibrant objects* is a category $\mathcal{D}$ which satisfies the following axioms.

0. The category contains all finite coproducts.
1. The 2-out-of-3 property holds for weak equivalences.
2. The composition of cofibrations is a cofibration, isomorphisms are cofibrations.
3. Pushout diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_*} \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

   exist when i is a cofibration. In this case i_* is a cofibration which is additionally a weak equivalence if i is one.
4. For each object there is a cylinder object.
5. For each X the unique map $* \to X$ from the initial object is a cofibration.

The notion of a cylinder object in [GJ99, p. 123] is slightly different from our notion, but as the cylinder axiom 6.4.2 holds our cylinder functor from Section 6.2 applied to the identity yields a cylinder object in the sense of [GJ99, p. 123]. This verifies condition (4.).

We have already established that the other conditions hold for $\mathcal{C}^G$: as $\mathcal{C}^G$ is a category with cofibrations (Section 6.1.3) every object is cofibrant (5.), we have twofold and hence finite coproducts (0.), isomorphisms as well as the composition of cofibrations are cofibrations (2.), and the pushout along a cofibration exists and is a cofibration (half of 3.). The 2-out-of-3 property (1.) is the Saturation Axiom 6.3.2. Finally, the pushout of a cofibration which is a homotopy equivalence is a homotopy equivalence (3.) by Lemma 6.5.1. Hence, by Lemma II.8.8 of [GJ99], the gluing lemma holds in $\mathcal{C}^G$. □

6.7 The extension axiom

Next we want to prove the extension axiom for our category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. We will need to use explicitly that we can sum maps. Unlike the results in the previous sections, the extension axiom does not hold in Waldhausen's category of retractive spaces over a point, see [Wal85, 1.2].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with cofibrations. A *cofiber sequence* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a sequence $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$ in $\mathcal{C}$ where $A \rightarrowtail B$ is a cofibration and $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ is isomorphic to the map $B \twoheadrightarrow B/A := B \cup_A *$.

Definition 6.7.1 (extension axiom)**.** *A subcategory $w\mathcal{C}$ of weak equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the* ***extension axiom*** *if for each map of cofiber sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_A} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_B} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_C} \\ A' & \rightarrowtail & B' & \twoheadrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

where f_A and f_C are weak equivalences, the map f_B is a weak equivalence. Sometimes B (resp. f_B) is called an ***extension*** *of A by C (resp. of f_A by f_C).*

We first need a relative homotopy lifting property. We directly prove a more general horn-filling property.

Lemma 6.7.2 (Horn-filling relative to a map)**.** *Let $A \rightarrowtail M$ be a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Let $U \rightarrowtail P$ also be a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}^G$ and let $P \twoheadrightarrow Q := P/U$ the quotient map. Then $A \to M$ has the* ***relative horn-filling property*** *with respect to $P \to Q$. This means, given a horn $\Lambda^n_i \subseteq \Delta^n$*

and a solid commutative diagram of controlled maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup A[\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ M[\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array} \tag{19}$$

then the dashed lift exists.

The case $n = 1$, $i = 1$ gives the homotopy lifting property with respect to $P \to Q$. The proof proceeds similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.3.1. It is not stated there in the full generality, as we need the generalized version only in this section.

We will need the following extra ingredient: any surjective map $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ of simplicial abelian groups is a Kan fibration. This follows e.g. from [GJ99, Corollary V.2.7, p. 263]. Consequently, for a cellular inclusion of simplicial R-modules $A \rightarrowtail B$, the map $B \twoheadrightarrow B/A$ is a Kan fibration of simplicial sets.

Proof of Lemma 6.7.2. The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 6.3.1, but more involved. The main point is that we need to find a lift relative to the map $P \to Q$. To still keep the control, we have to strengthen the induction hypothesis.

We first treat the case $G = \{1\}$. Let $B_k := A \cup M_k$, where M_k is the submodule of M generated by all cells of dimension $\leq k$. We do induction over k. We abbreviate $N_k := \big(M[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_k[\Lambda^n_i]} B_k[\Delta^n]\big)$, $N_\infty := M[\Delta^n] = \bigcup_k N_k$. We have to find a lift in the diagram (which also fixes our notation for the maps)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & P \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ N_\infty & \xrightarrow{h} & Q \end{array} . \tag{20}$$

We need to be able to restrict p "locally", such that it is still a fibration. It suffices that we "locally" construct maps which are surjections of abelian simplicial groups after forgetting control and R-module structure. We make the following choices. For each $e_Q \in \diamond_R Q$ choose an $\vartheta(e_Q) \in \diamond_R P$ with $p(\vartheta(e_Q)) = e_Q$. Such a map $\vartheta\colon \diamond_R Q \to \diamond_R P$ exists as $\diamond_R P \cong \diamond_R Q \cup \diamond_R U$.

We assume the following induction hypothesis:

1. There is a map $g_k\colon N_k \to P$ which extends f over h, i.e., is a partial lift in the diagram (20).

2. For each $e_0 \in \diamond_R M$ the map g_k restricts to

$$\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_k \xrightarrow{g_k}$$
$$\langle f(\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) \rangle_P \cup \bigcup \Big\{ \langle \vartheta(e_Q) \rangle_P \;\Big|\; e_Q \in \diamond_R \langle h(\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q \Big\}$$

The second condition implies that g_k is $E_f \circ E_M \cup E_M \circ E_h \circ E_P$-controlled. Roughly speaking it ensures that the lift does not hit a module which is uncontrollably large. Here is a reason for why it has to be at least that size. First we must allow a cell e_0 to at least hit the image of f of the part of the cell intersecting N_{-1}. Second, the cell hits certain elements in Q, so we must have possible lifts for all of them.

We do induction over k. We can attach cells of the same dimension independently, so we only treat the case of attaching one cell e of dimension k. As before the left square of the following diagram is a pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[\Delta^k \times \Lambda^n_i \cup \partial\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{\partial e_*} & B_k[\Lambda^n_i] \cup_{B_{k-1}[\Lambda^n_i]} B_{k-1}[\Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ R[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{e_*} & B_k[\Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{h} & Q \end{array} \tag{21}$$

We can replace the middle column by $N_{k-1} \to N_k$ and the diagram remains commutative and the left square a pushout. We only have to find a lift in the outer diagram of (21). We abbreviate

$$P^f(e) := \langle f(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_{-1}) \rangle_P$$
$$P^h(e) := \bigcup \Big\{ \langle \vartheta(e_Q) \rangle_P \;\Big|\; e_Q \in \diamond_R \langle h(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q \Big\}$$

Both are cellular submodules of P. We obtain a factorization of the outer diagram of (21)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[\Delta^k \times \Lambda^n_i \cup \partial\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{\partial e_*} & P^f(e) \cup P^h(e) & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ R[\Delta^k \times \Delta^n] & \xrightarrow{e_*} & \langle h(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

by the induction hypothesis and it suffices to find a lift in the left diagram. By the fundamental lemma, and because the middle column in the diagram has bounded support on some $\{x\}^E$, it suffices to find a (dashed) lift in the

diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^k \times \Lambda^n_i \cup \partial\Delta^k \times \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & P^f(e) \cup P^h(e) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta^k \times \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \langle h(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q \end{array}$$

Such a lift exists if the right map is a Kan Fibration. But as it is a homomorphism of simplicial abelian groups it suffices to show that it is surjective. But $P^h(e) \to \langle h(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q$ is already surjective by construction, as $P^h(e)$ has exactly one cell e_P for each cell e_Q of $\langle h(\langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \rangle_Q$ and by definition of ϑ the cell e_P is mapped to e_Q. This gives the lift g_k, and by construction it satisfies the first condition of the induction hypothesis for k.

The second condition is satisfied for e by construction and for e_0 with $e \notin \langle e_0 \rangle_M$ by the induction hypothesis for $k-1$. Otherwise $\langle e \rangle_M \subseteq \langle e_0 \rangle_M$ which implies $P^f(e) \subseteq P^f(e_0)$ and $P^h(e) \subseteq P^h(e_0)$. Then g_k restricted to $\langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n]$ factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap N_k &= \langle e_0 \rangle_M [\Delta^n] \cap (N_{k-1} \cup \langle e \rangle_M [\Delta^n]) \\ &\xrightarrow{g_k} P^f(e_0) \cup P^h(e_0) \ \cup \ P^f(e) \cup P^h(e) = P^f(e_0) \cup P^h(e_0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second condition is also satisfied.

If $G \neq \{1\}$ we can choose the above lifts equivariantly, e.g. by constructing first a lift for one cell in a G-orbit and then extending equivariantly. This shows the general case. □

Lemma 6.7.3 (extension axiom)**.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ A' & \rightarrowtail & B' & \twoheadrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

be a map of cofiber sequences in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Assume that $A \to A'$ and $C \to C'$ are homotopy equivalences. Then $B \to B'$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. We can factor the vertical maps functorially by using the cylinder functor. As a cylinder functor is exact it respects the cofiber sequences. We obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ T_A & \rightarrowtail & T_B & \twoheadrightarrow & T_C \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ A' & \rightarrowtail & B' & \twoheadrightarrow & C' \end{array} .$$

By Proposition 6.4.5, A and C are deformation retracts of T_A and T_C, respectively, with the inclusions being the left and the right vertical upper maps. What remains to be shown is that the vertical upper middle map is a homotopy equivalence. This is proved in Lemma 6.7.4 below, where it is shown that B is a deformation retract of T_B. □

Lemma 6.7.4. *Assume we have a cofiber sequence $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow \overline{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}^G$ where $\overline{B} = B/A$ for brevity. Suppose we have a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \overline{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_A & \rightarrowtail & T_B & \twoheadrightarrow & T_{\overline{B}} \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^G$ where the horizontal lines are cofiber sequences and the vertical arrows are cellular inclusions. Suppose that A and $\overline{B}$ are deformation retracts of T_A and $T_{\overline{B}}$ with inclusions the left and right vertical maps. Then B is a deformation retract of T_B with inclusion the middle vertical map.

We prove a slightly stronger statement than Lemma 6.7.4:

Lemma 6.7.5. *Assume that we are in the situation of Lemma 6.7.4. Let D_0 be a cellular submodule of D. Then each controlled map $(D, D_0) \to (T_B, B)$ of pairs in $\mathcal{C}^G$ is controlled homotopic relative D_0 to a map into B.*

Proof of Lemma 6.7.4 using 6.7.5. By Lemma 6.7.5, the map $\mathrm{id}\colon (T_B, B) \to (T_B, B)$ is controlled homotopic relative B to a map $T_B \to B$. This is the desired deformation retraction. □

Remark 6.7.6 (Toy situation). Assume we have a commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \overline{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_B} & & \downarrow \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & \overline{B}' \end{array}$$

where the horizontal lines are short exact sequences. Assume that the outer maps are surjective. We want to show that the middle map is surjective. The proof proceeds exactly like the proof of Lemma 6.7.5, but is easier. We give it to help with the general proof.

Let α be an element in B'. We will denote the constructed elements by consecutive Greek letters and denote projections to the quotient by a bar. So $\overline{\alpha}$ is an element in $\overline{B}'$. As $\overline{B} \to \overline{B}'$ is surjective there is an element β in $\overline{B}$ which maps to $\overline{\alpha}$. As $B \to \overline{B}$ is surjective there is an element γ in B which maps to $\beta \in \overline{B}$. The elements $f_B(\gamma)$ and α do not need to be equal in B',

but they become equal when projected to $\overline{B}'$, so $\alpha - f_B(\gamma)$ factors through $A' \rightarrowtail B'$. As $A \to A'$ is surjective there is an element δ in A which maps to $\alpha - f_B(\gamma)$ in B'. Hence, considered in B, $f_B(\delta + \gamma)$ equals α.

Lemma 6.7.2 applies to the maps $B \to \overline{B}$ and $T_B \to T_{\overline{B}}$, so we have the relative homotopy lifting property with respect to these maps.

Proof of Lemma 6.7.5. Let $\alpha\colon (D, D_0) \to (T_B, B)$ be a controlled map. This gives a map $\overline{\alpha}$ into $(\overline{B}, T_{\overline{B}})$. As $\overline{B}$ is a deformation retract of $T_{\overline{B}}$ we obtain a homotopy $\overline{H}\colon D[\Delta^1] \to T_{\overline{B}}$ from $\overline{\alpha}$ to a map into $\overline{B}$ which is trivial on D_0. It comes from the deformation of $(\overline{B}, T_{\overline{B}})$ precomposed with α. Lemma 6.7.2 applies to the map $T_B \to T_{\overline{B}}$. We obtain a lift H of $\overline{H}$, relative to α and D_0.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_0[\Delta^1] \cup D[0] & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T_B \\ \downarrow & \overset{H}{\nearrow} & \downarrow \\ D[\Delta^1] & \xrightarrow{\overline{H}} & T_{\overline{B}} \end{array}$$

This is a homotopy from α to a better map, call it $\beta\colon D \to T_B$. However, β might not yet factor through B in which case the lemma would follow. But composition with $T_B \to T_{\overline{B}}$ gives a map $\overline{\beta}$ to $T_{\overline{B}}$ which factors through $\overline{B}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \twoheadrightarrow & \overline{B} & & \\ \downarrow & \overset{\overline{\beta}}{\nearrow} & \downarrow & & \\ D & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & T_B & \twoheadrightarrow & T_{\overline{B}} \end{array}$$

Using Lemma 6.7.2 again this time for $B \twoheadrightarrow \overline{B}$ and the trivial homotopy of $\overline{\beta}$ in $\overline{B}$ we obtain some lift of $\overline{\beta}$ to B, call it γ.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} * & \longrightarrow & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \overline{B} \\ \downarrow & \overset{\gamma}{\nearrow} & & \overset{\overline{\beta}}{\nearrow} & \\ D & & & & \end{array}$$

It follows that the difference $\beta - \gamma\colon D \to T_B$ is zero when composed with $T_B \to T_{\overline{B}}$. Hence, it factors through T_A. As the restrictions of β and γ to D_0 both lie in B the restriction of $\beta - \gamma$ to D_0 factors through A. So $\beta - \gamma$ gives a map $(D, D_0) \to (T_A, A)$. We can show the situation by the following commuting diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_A & \rightarrowtail & T_B & \twoheadrightarrow & T_{\overline{B}}\,, \\ & \overset{\beta-\gamma}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta-\gamma} & \overset{0}{\nearrow} & \\ & & D & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \overline{B}\,, \\ & \overset{\beta-\gamma}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta-\gamma} & \overset{0}{\nearrow} & \\ & & D_0 & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & T_A \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta-\gamma} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta-\gamma} \\ D_0 & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

Hence, as $A \to T_A$ is a deformation retraction, there is a homotopy G relative to D_0 of $\beta - \gamma$ to a map into A. It comes from the deformation of (A, T_A) precomposed with $\beta - \gamma$. Call the resulting map $\delta\colon D \to A$. Via the inclusion $(T_A, A) \to (T_B, B)$ the map G can be viewed as a homotopy to T_B with:

$$\begin{array}{ll} G\colon & D[\Delta^1] \to T_B \\ G_{|0} & = \beta - \gamma \\ G_{|1} & = \delta \\ G_{|D_0[\Delta^1]} & = \beta - \gamma_{|D_0} \end{array} .$$

Therefore, $G + \gamma\colon D[\Delta^1] \to T_B$ is a homotopy from β to $\delta + \gamma$, where δ and γ factor through B so the sum also factors through B. Furthermore, the homotopy is trivial on D_0. Concatenating the two homotopies H and G thus gives a homotopy relative D_0 from α to a map into B. This is what we wanted to show.

Note that all maps above are in fact in $\mathcal{C}^G$, because maps in $\mathcal{C}^G$ form an abelian group and being homotopic relative a subspace is an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{C}^G$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1.6. We established that $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ has the structure of a category with cofibrations $co\mathcal{C}^G$ in 6.1. The subcategory of homotopy equivalences in $\mathcal{C}^G$ contains all the isomorphisms and satisfies the gluing Lemma 6.6.1. In other words, it is a subcategory of weak equivalences for $(\mathcal{C}^G, co\mathcal{C}^G)$. The the saturation axiom 6.3.2, the cylinder axiom 6.4.2 and extension axiom 6.7.5 hold. This settles Theorem 3.1.6. □

7 Proofs III: finiteness conditions

7.1 Finiteness conditions

Let $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a G-equivariant control space. We have shown that the category $\mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ has the structure of a Waldhausen category. The weak equivalences satisfy the saturation and extension axiom. The category $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$ has a cylinder functor which satisfies the cylinder axiom.

Let $\mathcal{C}^G_?$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$. Define map in $\mathcal{C}^G_?$ to be a cofibration, resp. a weak equivalence, if it is a cofibration, resp. a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Assume the following three conditions as satisfied:

(C0) We have $* \in \mathcal{C}^G_?$.

(C1) For $C \leftarrow A \rightarrowtail B$ in $\mathcal{C}^G_?$ the pushout is in $\mathcal{C}^G_?$.

(C2) For A in $\mathcal{C}^G_?$, $A[\Delta^1]$ is in $\mathcal{C}^G_?$.

Then $\mathcal{C}^G_?$ has the structure of a Waldhausen category, it has a cylinder functor and satisfies the saturation, extension and the cylinder axiom. We show that

the bl-finite, homotopy bl-finite and homotopy bl-finitely dominated objects satisfy these conditions.

Recall that $(M,\kappa) \in \mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ is *bl-finite* if it is finite-dimensional and locally finite, i.e., each $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that $\kappa^{-1}(U) \subseteq \diamond_R M$ is a finite cellular R-module (as uncontrolled module). M is *homotopy bl-finite* if there is a weak equivalence $M \xrightarrow{\sim} M'$ where M' is bl-finite. M is *homotopy bl-finitely dominated* if it is a strict retract of a homotopy bl-finite object. The corresponding categories obtain as decoration a subscript f, hf or hfd, respectively. While $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ and $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ contain all objects isomorphic to one already in the category, this is not true for $\mathcal{C}^G_f$. Hence, in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ we can define the Waldhausen structure only referring to $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$: a cofibration is a map which is isomorphic (in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$) to a cellular inclusion of homotopy bl-finite controlled simplicial R-modules over X and a weak equivalence is a homotopy equivalence in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$. The same holds for $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. We prove in Lemma 7.2.2 below that it also holds in $\mathcal{C}^G_f$, which requires X to be Hausdorff.

Note that obviously $* \in \mathcal{C}^G_f \subseteq \mathcal{C}^G_{hf} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$.

7.2 Bl-finite modules

There is an obvious notion of a *set over* X and of a *controlled map of sets over* X. Let $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa)$ be a controlled module over X. Our prime example of a set over X is $(\diamond_R M, \kappa)$. If (M,κ^1_R), (M,κ^2_R) are controlled modules over X such that $(\diamond_R M,\kappa^1_R)$ and $(\diamond_R M,\kappa^2_R)$ are controlled isomorphic as sets over X then (M,κ^1_R) and (M,κ^2_R) are controlled isomorphic.

Note that a controlled module $(M, \diamond_R M, \kappa)$ over X is locally finite if $(\diamond_R M, \kappa)$ is *a locally finite set* over X, i.e., each $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U with $\kappa^{-1}(U) \subseteq \diamond_R M$ being finite.

Remark 7.2.1. Modules isomorphic to bl-finite modules do not need to be bl-finite again, if the control space is not "good". An example is $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with metric control.

A control space $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is called *proper*, if for each compact subset K and $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we have that $(F \cap K)^E \cap F$ is contained in a compact set. If the control space is proper then modules isomorphic to bl-finite modules are again bl-finite, see also Remark 7.2.4.

We want to show that $\mathcal{C}^G_f$, the full subcategory of bl-finite objects, is indeed a Waldhausen category. Is is clear that $A[\Delta^1]$ is again bl-finite if A is bl-finite. The main part is to show that the pushout of $C \leftarrow A \rightarrowtail B$ exists when A, B, C are bl-finite modules and $A \rightarrowtail B$ is a cofibration *in* $\mathcal{C}^G$. If we know that the pushout is isomorphic to a cellular inclusion of bl-finite modules then we are done.

But that is not obvious, we mentioned above that not every module which is isomorphic to a bl-finite module needs to be bl-finite again. Furthermore,

the problem is not only that we could change the map κ to X, but we could have a different cellular structure.

Lemma 7.2.2. *Let $f'\colon A \to B$ be a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}_f^G$. Then it is isomorphic to a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}_f^G$.*

The proof is fairly complicated, so we only provide a sketch. The first step is the following:

By definition, f' is only isomorphic to a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}^G$, which does not need to be in $\mathcal{C}_f^G$ by the Remark above.

By Lemma 6.1.1, the pushout of f' along id_A can be chosen as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f'} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_A} & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & D \end{array}$$

such that f is a cellular inclusion. Then D is isomorphic to the bl-finite module B, but need not be bl-finite itself. We show in the next lemma that D can indeed be made into a bl-finite module. That lemma finishes the proof.

Lemma 7.2.3. *Let $f\colon A \to D$ be a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}^G$ such that A is bl-finite and (D, κ^D) is isomorphic to a bl-finite module (B, κ^B). Then there is a control map $\overline{\kappa}^D\colon \diamond_R D \to X$ such that $(D, \overline{\kappa})$ is a bl-finite module which is isomorphic to (D, κ^D).*

It follows that $A \to (D, \overline{\kappa})$ is isomorphic to a cellular inclusion in $\mathcal{C}_f^G$.

Proof. We only sketch the proof. The difficult part is, of course, that D and B might have different cellular structures.

We have to find for $(\diamond_R D, \kappa^D)$ a set over X which is controlled isomorphic to it and locally finite. We will do that in two steps, first improve $\kappa_0 := \kappa^D$ to κ_1, and then to κ_2. All maps $\kappa_1, \kappa_2\colon \diamond_R D \to X$ are controlled isomorphic to κ_0 and improve κ_0, in particular, κ_2 is a locally finite set over X. Then we can set $\overline{\kappa} := \kappa_2$. We prove the non-equivariant case first, i.e., assume $G = \{e\}$.

First we define κ_1 such that its image is contained in the image of κ^B. As (B, κ^B) and (D, κ_0) are controlled isomorphic, there is an $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for each $e \in \diamond_R D$ there is an $x(e) \in \operatorname{Im} \kappa^B \subseteq X$ such that $(\kappa_0(e), x(e)) \in E$. Set $\kappa_1(e) := x(e)$.

As $\kappa^B\colon \diamond_R B \to X$ is a locally finite set over X, its image has no accumulation points, i.e., for each point $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U_x containing only finitely many points of the image of κ^B. Thus the same is true for the image of κ_1.

Set

$$T := \{x \in X \mid \kappa_1^{-1}(x) \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

As X is Hausdorff we have that (D, κ_1) is bl-finite if and only if T is empty. So T are the "trouble points". We change κ_1 on $\kappa_1^{-1}(T)$. We can proceed degreewise. The rough idea is that if $\kappa_1^{-1}(T)$ is infinite in that degree, it needs to come from an infinite submodule of B.

Let $\theta\colon B \to D$ be the controlled isomorphism. We change κ_1 on, say, $d \in \diamond_R D$ to map to $\kappa_B(b)$ for some $b \in \diamond_R B$ with $d \in \langle \theta(b) \rangle_D$. Careful checking shows that the changed map is locally finite, at least in that degree. Also, the new map is controlled isomorphic to the old one.

For G being non-trivial, we can make all choices G-equivariant and are done. □

Remark 7.2.4. The proof of the Lemma implies the following for the control space X if T was not empty: there are points $x \in X$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\{x\}^E$ is not contained in a compact subset. Namely i_n^x must hit infinitely many cells of B over points in $\{x\}^E$, but B is locally finite. In particular, X is not a proper control space.

If X and Y are G-equivariant control spaces, then any G-equivariant map $f\colon X \to Y$ induces a functor $\mathcal{C}^G(X) \to \mathcal{C}^G(Y)$. For the bl-finite objects we have the following obvious criterion.

Lemma 7.2.5. *Let $\varphi\colon (X, \mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{F}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{E}_Y, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ be a map of control spaces which maps locally finite sets over X to locally finite sets over Y. Then φ induces a functor $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X, \mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{F}_X; R) \to \mathcal{C}_f^G(Y, \mathcal{E}_Y, \mathcal{F}_Y; R)$.* □

Remark 7.2.6. Note that inclusions of subspaces do not map locally finite sets to locally finite sets in general. A counterexample is the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$. However closed inclusions do map locally finite sets to locally finite sets and hence do induce functors of categories of controlled modules.

7.3 Homotopy bl-finite objects

We show that the full subcategory of homotopy bl-finite objects of $\mathcal{C}^G$ is a Waldhausen category. It follows directly from the cylinder axiom that the cylinder functor of a homotopy bl-finite object is again homotopy bl-finite. Hence, we are left to show (7.1).

Lemma 7.3.1. *Assume that $C \leftarrow A \rightarrowtail B$ is a diagram of homotopy bl-finite objects and $A \rightarrowtail B$ a cofibration. Then $C \cup_A B$ is homotopy bl-finite.*

Proof. Assume that there are bl-finite objects A', B', C' weakly equivalent to A, B, C. Note that we have inverses for weak equivalences, which we will use freely. Below we denote mapping cylinders by M_A, M_B, etc. and cofibrations by $\rightarrowtail$.

We obtain a chain of maps of diagrams. In the following, the arrows marked with $\overset{\bullet}{\to}$ are defined by composition. The first step is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longleftarrow & A & \rightarrowtail & B \\ \| & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ C & \overset{\bullet}{\longleftarrow} & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \end{array} ,$$

where A' is bl-finite and M_B is the mapping cylinder of $A' \to A \rightarrowtail B$, which still is homotopy bl-finite. Next we obtain a map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longleftarrow & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \| & & \| \\ C' & \overset{\bullet}{\longleftarrow} & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \end{array}$$

by C being homotopy bl-finite. Then consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C' & \longleftarrow & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \| & & \| \\ M_{C'} & \longleftarrow & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \end{array}$$

with $M_{C'}$ being the cylinder of $A' \to C'$ which is bl-finite as A' and C' are bl-finite. Finally, we obtain a map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{C'} & \longleftarrow & A' & \rightarrowtail & M_B \\ \| & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ M_{C'} & \longleftarrow & A' & \overset{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} & B' \end{array}$$

as M_B is weakly equivalent to B'. Using the gluing lemma four times gives that $C \cup_A B$ is weakly equivalent to the bl-finite object $M_{C'} \cup_{A'} B'$. □

7.4 Homotopy bl-finitely dominated objects

We give a three different characterizations of homotopy bl-finitely dominated objects.

Definition 7.4.1. *Let M, M' be objects in $\mathcal{C}^G$.*

1. *M is called a **retract** of M' if there are maps $i\colon M \to M'$, $r\colon M' \to M$ such that $r \circ i = \mathrm{id}_M$.*
2. *M is called a **homotopy retract** of M', or **dominated by** M', if there are maps $i\colon M \to M'$, $r\colon M' \to M$ and a homotopy $H\colon M[\Delta^1] \to M$ from $r \circ i$ to id_M.*

Lemma 7.4.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^G$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *A is a homotopy retract of a bl-finite module A'.*
2. *A is a retract of a homotopy bl-finite module A''.*
3. *A is a homotopy retract of a homotopy bl-finite module A'''.*

Proof. Clearly (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) hold. We show (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) first.

As A''' is homotopy bl-finite, there is a bl-finite module B and maps $f\colon A''' \to B$, $g\colon B \to A'''$ such that $g \circ f \simeq \mathrm{id}_{A'''}$, so A is a homotopy retract of B via $A \xrightarrow{i} A''' \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $B \xrightarrow{g} A''' \xrightarrow{r} B$.

Now we show (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We have maps $i\colon A \to A'$, $r\colon A' \to A$ with $r \circ i \simeq \mathrm{id}_A$. We can make the homotopy commutative diagram



into a strict commutative one, namely



Hence, A is a retract of $T(i)$ and as $T(i) \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$ is a homotopy equivalence, $T(i)$ is homotopy bl-finite. □

Lemma 7.4.3. *$\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ is a Waldhausen category. It has a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom and the class of weak equivalences satisfies the extension and the saturation axiom.*

Proof. Again we only show (C1) and (C2) from before. For (C2), $A[\Delta^1]$ is dominated by $A'[\Delta^1]$.

For (C1), assume that A, B, C are retracts of homotopy bl-finite objects A', B', C'. Note that we can make the co-retraction into a cofibration by replacing A' with the mapping cylinder of $A \to A'$, so we will assume that the co-retractions i_A, i_B, i_C are actually cofibrations.

We want to show that $C \cup_A B$ is a retract of a homotopy bl-finite object. We reduce this to the case where A is homotopy bl-finite. Consider the

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrowtail & B \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & B \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ A & \rightarrowtail & B \end{array} .$$

(As before $\xrightarrow{\bullet}$ denotes a map defined by composition.) We can factor the horizontal maps into cofibrations simultaneously using the cylinder functor. We obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrowtail & M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| \\ A' & \rightarrowtail & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| \\ A & \rightarrowtail & M & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B \end{array} .$$

Here and in all following diagrams the composition of the vertical arrows is always the identity, which holds in the diagram above by the functoriality of the cylinder functor. By the gluing lemma, $C \cup_A M$ is weakly equivalent to $C \cup_A B$. Then the diagram, extended by C, is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longleftarrow & A & \rightarrowtail & M \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \xleftarrow{\bullet} & A' & \rightarrowtail & \overline{M} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longleftarrow & A & \rightarrowtail & M \end{array} ,$$

which shows that $C \cup_A M$ is a retract of $C \cup_{A'} \overline{M}$. We are done if we show that $C \cup_{A'} \overline{M}$ is bl-finitely dominated. As M is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy bl-finitely dominated object B, Lemma 7.4.2 shows that $\overline{M}$ is again a retract of a homotopy bl-finite module M'.

Now we can use that we have co-retractions $C \rightarrowtail C'$, $\overline{M} \rightarrowtail M'$ with C', M' homotopy bl-finite objects, which are also cofibrations. This gives a

commuting retraction diagram



where we want to emphasize, that the map $A' \rightarrowtail M'$, defined by composition, is a cofibration. Thus $C \cup_{A'} \overline{M}$ is a retract of $C' \cup_{A'} M'$, which is homotopy bl-finite, as being a pushout of homotopy bl-finite objects along a cofibration. □

8 Proofs IV: connective algebraic K-theory of categories of controlled simplicial modules

8.1 Algebraic K-theory

In the last section we showed that the categories $\mathcal{C}^G_f$, $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ and $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ are all categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences, so we can use Waldhausen's $\mathcal{S}_\bullet$-construction from [Wal85] to produce an algebraic K-Theory spectrum $K(\mathcal{C}^G_?)$ and therefore also the corresponding infinite loop space. Define $K_n(\mathcal{C}^G_?)$ for $n \geq 0$ as the nth homotopy group $\pi_n K(\mathcal{C}^G_?)$. This algebraic K-Theory spectrum is always connective so we do not assign any name to its negative homotopy groups.

Remark 8.1.1. There is a slight set-theoretical problem, as $\mathcal{C}^G$ is not a small category according to our definition but it needs be one to apply the K-theory construction. However, we follow the usual approach (see e.g. [Wal85, Remark before 2.1.1]) and fix a suitably large set-theoretical small category of simplicial R-modules to begin with. Then all the categories we consider are again small. (We could obtain such a category by fixing a large cardinal and requiring it to contain all elements.) We will assume such a choice from now on.

8.2 A cofinality theorem

We want to show that $K_n(\mathcal{C}^G_f)$ $K_n(\mathcal{C}^G_{hf})$ and $K_n(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd})$ agree for $n \geq 1$. For this we need a cofinality theorem first.

Theorem 8.2.1 (Waldhausen-Thomason cofinality)**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Waldhausen categories. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ which satisfies the following conditions*

1. *$\mathcal{A}$ is a Waldhausen subcategory: $f\colon X \to B$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is a cofibration if and only if it is a cofibration in $\mathcal{B}$ with cokernel in $\mathcal{A}$.*

2. *A map in $\mathcal{A}$ is a weak equivalence if and only if it is one in $\mathcal{B}$.*

3. *$\mathcal{A}$ is saturated: every object in $\mathcal{B}$ which is weakly equivalent to an object in $\mathcal{A}$ is itself in $\mathcal{A}$.*

4. *$\mathcal{A}$ is closed under extensions: if $X \rightarrowtail Y \twoheadrightarrow Z$ is a cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ and X, Z are in $\mathcal{A}$, then Y is in $\mathcal{A}$.*

5. *$\mathcal{B}$ has mapping cylinders satisfying the cylinder axiom and $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under them.*

6. *$\mathcal{A}$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{B}$: for every object X in $\mathcal{B}$ there is an object $\overline{X}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $X \vee \overline{X}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Then

$$K(\mathcal{A}) \to K(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{“}K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})\text{”}$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence of connective spectra. Here “$K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})$” denotes the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum with the group $K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})$ in degree 0.

Remark 8.2.2 (Similar results). Theorem 8.2.1 is inspired from Thomason-Trobaugh [TT90, Exercise 1.10.2] and Vogell [Vog90, Theorem 1.6]. However, we have slightly different assumptions. In particular, in [TT90] the saturatedness assumption is missing. We will provide a counterexample in Section 8.2.5. Parts of the proof were also inspired by Weibel's K-Book [Wei13, Corollary V.2.3.1 and prerequisites], which, unfortunately, suffers from the same missing assumption, see again Section 8.2.5. Staffeldt, [Sta89, Thm. 2.1], has a similar result as ours in the context of exact categories, which is strictly less general as his class of weak equivalences are only the isomorphisms.

Remark 8.2.3. Instead of proving the theorem directly, we prove a lemma about K_0 and then rely on Thomason-Trobaugh's cofinality theorems [TT90, Thm. 10.1] and Waldhausen's strict cofinality theorem [Wal85, 1.5.9]. (The latter has an implicit assumption that the subcategory is full, see 8.2.6.)

Lemma 8.2.4. *Assume we are in the same situation as in Theorem 8.2.1. Assume furthermore that $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ induces a surjection $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is **strictly cofinal** in $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of [Wal85, 1.5.9], i.e., for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B \vee A \in \mathcal{A}$. (In this situation we do not need the cylinder functor assumption, but all the other ones are used.)*

Under the hypothesis of the lemma Waldhausen's strict cofinality theorem applies and shows that $K(\mathcal{A}) \simeq K(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. Recall (e.g. from [TT90, 1.5.6]) that $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the abelian group generated by isomorphism classes of objects $[A]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with relations

1. $[A] = [B]$ if there is a weak equivalence $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$
2. $[A] + [C] = [B]$ if there is a cofiber sequence $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$.

Let $K_0'(\mathcal{A})$ be the group where we ignore the weak equivalences and consider only split cofiber sequences. That is, it is the group generated by isomorphism classes of objects $[A]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with relation

1. $[A] + [C] = [A \vee C]$ for $A, C \in \mathcal{A}$.

We do the same for $\mathcal{B}$. From the inclusion $i\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ we obtain a (solid) commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0'(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & K_0'(\mathcal{B}) & \dashrightarrow & G' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \vdots \\ K_0(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{B}) & \dashrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

which we can extend to the cokernels G and G' as shown. We claim $G' \to G$ is an isomorphism.

First, G is the abelian group with generators $[B]$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and relations

1. $[A] + [C] = [B]$ if there is a cofiber sequence $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$.
2. $[A] = 0$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}$.
3. $[A] = [B]$ if there is a weak equivalence $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$

We claim that (3) is redundant: by cofinality, there is an $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \vee \overline{A} \in \mathcal{A}$. By the gluing lemma, $A \vee \overline{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} B \vee \overline{A}$ is still a weak equivalence. By saturation, $B \vee \overline{A}$ is also in $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, by (2), $[A \vee \overline{A}] = 0$ and $[B \vee \overline{A}] = 0$ in G. By (1), then $[A] = -[\overline{A}] = [B]$ in G, which implies (3).

Furthermore, G' is the abelian group with generators $[B]$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and relations

1. $[A] + [B] = [A \vee B]$.
2. $[A] = 0$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

We show that the stronger relation (1) for G comes from G' and therefore G and G' are isomorphic. Let $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$ be a cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{B}$. By cofinality, there are $\overline{A}, \overline{C}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\overline{A} \vee A$ and $\overline{C} \vee C$ are in $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$A \vee \overline{A} \to B \vee \overline{A} \vee \overline{C} \to C \vee \overline{C} \tag{22}$$

is a cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ by the pushout axiom, and the first and last object are in $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under extensions in $\mathcal{B}$, the middle term $B \vee \overline{A} \vee \overline{C}$ is also in $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that in G' we have

$$[B] + [\overline{A}] + [\overline{C}] = 0$$
$$[A] + [\overline{A}] = 0$$
$$[C] + [\overline{C}] = 0$$

and therefore $[B] = [A] + [C]$ in G'. It follows that $G \cong G'$.

We now prove that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is strictly cofinal. If $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B})$ is a surjection, G and therefore G' is trivial. Hence, $K_0'(\mathcal{A}) \to K_0'(\mathcal{B})$ is surjective. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then there is an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $[A] = [B] \in K_0'(\mathcal{B})$. Now $K_0'(\mathcal{B})$ is just a group completion with respect to $\vee$ after taking isomorphism classes. Therefore, $[A] = [B]$ if and only if there is a $C \in \mathcal{B}$ with $A \vee C \cong B \vee C$. (This is the usual algebraic argument.) As $\mathcal{A}$ is cofinal, there is a $\overline{C} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $C \vee \overline{C} \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

$$A \vee (C \vee \overline{C}) \cong B \vee C \vee \overline{C}.$$

with, of course, $B \vee C \vee \overline{C} \in \mathcal{A}$. This shows strict cofinality. □

Proof of Thm. 8.2.1. We want to apply [TT90, 1.10.1]. For the convenience of the reader we quote the result:

> 1.10.1. **Cofinality Theorem.** Let $v\mathcal{B}$ be a Waldhausen category with a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom. Let G be an abelian group, and $\pi\colon K_0(v\mathcal{B}) \to G$ an epimorphism. Let $\mathcal{B}^w$ be the full subcategory of those B in $\mathcal{B}$ for which the class $[B]$ in $K_0(v\mathcal{B})$ has $\pi[B] = 0$ in G. Make $\mathcal{B}^w$ a Waldhausen category with $v(\mathcal{B}^w) = \mathcal{B}^w \cap v(B)$, $\mathrm{co}(\mathcal{B}^w) = \mathcal{B}^w \cap \mathrm{co}(B)$. Let "$G$" denote G considered as Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum whose only non-zero homotopy group is G in dimension 0.
>
> Then there is a homotopy fibre sequence
>
> $$K(v\mathcal{B}^w) \to K(v\mathcal{B}) \to \text{“}G\text{”}$$

Define G as $K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})$. We obtain the above fiber sequence and, in particular, that $K_0(v\mathcal{B}^w) = \ker \pi$, where π is the projection $K_0(v\mathcal{B}) \to G$. As $\pi[A] = 0$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ by the definition of G, the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ factors as $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^w$. It suffices to show that $K(\mathcal{A}) \to K(\mathcal{B}^w)$ is a weak equivalence. Like in $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{B}^w$, as one can choose the same complement. Then $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^w$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8.2.1. But $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B}^w)$ is surjective, so by Lemma 8.2.4, $\mathcal{A}$ is strongly cofinal in $\mathcal{B}^w$. By Waldhausen's strict cofinality Theorem [Wal85, 1.5.9], there is a homotopy equivalence $K(\mathcal{A}) \to K(\mathcal{B}^w)$. This shows that we obtain the desired homotopy fiber sequence

$$K(\mathcal{A}) \to K(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{“}K_0(\mathcal{B})/K_0(\mathcal{A})\text{”}.$$ □

8.2.5 Saturated is necessary: a counterexample The assumption (3) in Theorem 8.2.1 saturatedness is necessary. Here is a counterexample, which the author developed during a discussion with Chuck Weibel. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the following Waldhausen category:

1. Objects are finite pointed sets X with decomposition $X = A \vee B \vee C$. (Think of the elements as being colored, while the basepoint is black.)

2. Morphisms are maps $A \vee B \vee C \to A' \vee B' \vee C'$ such that they restrict to maps $A \to A'$, $B \to A' \vee B'$, $C \to A' \vee C'$. That is, you can change any color to A or map to the basepoint, or do not change the color.

3. Let Cofibrations be split injections. That is maps $i\colon X \to X'$ such that there is a map $p\colon X' \to X$ with $p \circ i = \mathrm{id}$. It follows that $X' \cong X \vee Y$ for some Y in $\mathcal{C}$. This is, they come from the direct sum "$\vee$" in pointed sets.

4. Let a weak equivalence be a bijection of pointed sets.

This category has $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, as each object is weakly equivalent to an object $A \vee * \vee *$.

Consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ of objects $A \vee B \vee C$ with $|A| = |C|$. This is a cofinal subcategory in $\mathcal{C}$. It is not saturated: while $A \vee * \vee C$ is equivalent to $(A \vee C) \vee * \vee *$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the latter is not in $\mathcal{B}$. It satisfies all the other assumptions of Theorem 8.2.1 except for the cylinder functor 8.2.1.(5), so Lemma 8.2.4 would apply and show that $K_0(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq K_0(\mathcal{C})$. However, one sees that $K_0(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, with generators represented by $A \vee * \vee C$ and $* \vee B \vee *$, as all weak equivalences in $\mathcal{B}$ are isomorphisms. Hence, $K_0(\mathcal{B}) \to K_0(\mathcal{C})$ cannot be an injection.

This counterexample shows that the saturatedness assumption is necessary and missing in Exercise 1.10.2 in [TT90], as well as in Corollary V.2.3.1 in Weibel's K-book [Wei13]. The latter is deduced in [Wei13] from Theorem II.9.4 through a chain along exercise II.9.14 ("Grayson's trick"), Theorem IV.8.9 and Remark IV.8.9.1, (which states $K_0(\mathcal{B}) = K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}$ being strictly cofinal in $\mathcal{C}$). The gap is in the proof of Theorem II.9.4, which claims that the proof of Lemma II.7.2 applies verbatim. In particular, the above counterexample applies to Theorem II.9.4.

8.2.6 Fullness is necessary For completeness, let us remark that the fullness assumption in 8.2.1 is also necessary: in Waldhausen's cofinality theorem [Wal85, 1.5.9], he does not mention that one needs to assume that the subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is full. There is a counterexample due to Inna Zakharevich [Zak10] which shows that one has to assume it:

> "Consider the following example. Let C be the category of pairs of pointed finite sets, whose morphisms $(A, B) \to (A', B')$ are

pointed maps $A \vee B \to A' \vee B'$, and let B be the category of pairs of pointed finite sets whose morphisms $(A, B) \to (A', B')$ are pairs of pointed maps $A \to B$ and $A' \to B$. We make C a Waldhausen category by defining the weak equivalences to be the isomorphisms, and the cofibrations to be the injective maps. B is clearly cofinal in C, but $K_0(B) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, while $K_0(C) = \mathbb{Z}.[\dots]$" (from `http://mathoverflow.net/q/23515`)

8.3 Change of finiteness conditions

We turn to the proofs for Section 4. We need Waldhausen's Approximation Theorem, which we recall for convenience.

Definition 8.3.1 (Approximation Property [Wal85, 1.6],[TT90, 1.9.1])**.** *Let $F\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor of Waldhausen categories. F has the* ***Approximation Property*** *if the following two axioms hold.*

(App 1) A map f in $\mathcal{A}$ is a weak equivalence if (and only if) its image $F(f)$ in $\mathcal{B}$ is a weak equivalence.

(App 2) Given any object A in $\mathcal{A}$ and a map $x\colon F(A) \to B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ there exists a map $a\colon A \to A'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and a weak equivalence $x'\colon F(A') \to B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{x} & B \\ {\scriptstyle F(a)}\downarrow & \nearrow_{x'} & \\ F(A') & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Theorem 8.3.2 (Approximation Theorem [Wal85, 1.6.7],[TT90, 1.9.1])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ be Waldhausen categories which satisfy the saturation axiom. Assume $\mathcal{A}$ has a cylinder functor satisfying the cylinder axiom. Let $F\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor with the Approximation Property. Then F induces an equivalence*

$$K(F)\colon K(w\mathcal{A}) \to K(w\mathcal{B})$$

on connective algebraic K-theory spectra.

We used Thomason-Trobaugh's remark in [TT90, 1.9.1] that we can use a weaker version of the Approximation Property. In [Wal85], there is the further requirement in (App 2) that a is a cofibration, which we can always arrange due to the existence of a cylinder functor.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.3. To prove (1) we use Waldhausen's Approximation Theorem 8.3.2 and apply it to the inclusion functor. We check the conditions.

First, all our categories satisfy the saturation axiom 6.3.2 and have a cylinder functor 3.1.4 satisfying the cylinder axiom 6.4.2.

A map is a homotopy equivalence in $\mathcal{C}^G_f$ if and only if it is one in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$, hence (App 1) is satisfied.

Assume we have $A \in \mathcal{C}^G_f$, $B \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ and a map $f\colon A \to B$. For B there is, by definition, a bl-finite object $B_f \in \mathcal{C}^G_f$ which is homotopy equivalent to B, i.e., there are maps $g\colon B_f \to B$ and $\overline{g}\colon B \to B_f$ with both compositions being homotopic to the identity. Define $j\colon A \to B_f$ as $j := \overline{g} \circ f$. Then $g \circ j$ is homotopic to f. Using the cylinder functor (and Section 6.4.4) we can rectify the homotopy commutative diagram on the left below to the strict commutative diagram on the right:



As $B_f \to T(j)$ is a homotopy equivalence, by the saturation axiom H is a homotopy equivalence. This shows (App 2) and therefore (1).

For (2) we use the Waldhausen-Thomason cofinality Theorem 8.2.1. We have to check the conditions (1) to (6). Most of them are clear or shown in the previous sections.

In particular, a map $A \to A'$ in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ which is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$, and therefore its quotient is again in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$. This is (1). By definition, $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ is full in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. A map in the former is a weak equivalence if and only if it is in the latter. Similarly, the cylinder functor is just inherited. This shows (2) and (5). An object homotopy equivalent to an object in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ is homotopy bl-finite, hence itself in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$, this shows (3).

We are left with first showing the cofinality (6) and then that $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ is closed under extensions in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ (4).

For $B \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ there is an $A \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ such that B is a retract of A, i.e., there are maps $r\colon A \to B$, $i\colon B \to A$ such that $r \circ i = \mathrm{id}_B$. By replacing A with $T(i)$, we can assume that i is a cofibration, hence there is a cofiber sequence

$$B \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} A \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} C := A/B.$$

The retraction $r\colon A \to B$ and the map $* \to C$ give a map $A \to B \vee C$, and $* \to B$ and $A \to C$ give another one. The sum of these maps makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \rightarrowtail & A & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ {\scriptstyle =}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle =}\downarrow \\ B & \rightarrowtail & B \vee C & \twoheadrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

commutative and both rows are cofiber sequences. By the extension axiom 6.7.3, the map $A \to B \vee C$ is a homotopy equivalence, hence $B \vee C \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$. This show cofinality.

Next we need to show that $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ is closed under extensions in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. Let

$$A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$$

be a cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ with $A, C \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ and B ("the extension of A by C") in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. As $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ is cofinal there is a $B' \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ such that $B \vee B' \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$. Then

$$A \rightarrowtail B \vee B' \twoheadrightarrow C \vee B'$$

is a cofiber sequence with $A, B \vee B' \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$, hence the quotient $C \vee B'$ is in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ by the gluing lemma. Similarly, but more easily, we use the cofiber sequence

$$C \rightarrowtail C \vee B' \twoheadrightarrow B'$$

to show $B' \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$ and then the cofiber sequence

$$B' \rightarrowtail B \vee B' \twoheadrightarrow B$$

to show $B \in \mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$.

The Cofinality Theorem 8.2.1 therefore gives us a homotopy fiber sequence of connective spectra

$$K(\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}) \to K(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd})/K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hf})$$

As $\pi_n(K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd})/K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hf})) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ part (2) of the proposition follows. □

Remark 8.3.3. In view of the proposition, one can consider $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$ as kind of "idempotent completion" of $\mathcal{C}^G_{hf}$. (Recall that for algebraic K-theory of rings the idempotent completion [Fre03, 2.B, p.61] of the category of finitely generated free R-modules gives the category of finitely generated projective R-modules, which has the correct K_0, cf. also e.g. [CP97].)

Corollary A.2.3 from the appendix shows that idempotents and certain homotopy idempotents split in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. The author does not know if every homotopy idempotent splits in $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}$. Hence, it is not clear that $K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd})$ is the "correct" group from this point of view. However, the difference and interplay between $K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hf})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd})$ is crucial in later work to construct a nonconnective delooping of $K(\mathcal{C}^G_?)$ for all $? = f, hf, hfd$—we will obtain equivalent nonconnective K-theory spectra for all three finiteness conditions.

8.4 Change of rings

Let $f\colon R \to S$ be a map of simplicial rings.

If M is a cellular R-module then $S \otimes_R M$ is a cellular S-module and we obtain a natural bijection $\diamond_R M \cong \diamond_S(S \otimes_R M)$ which makes $S \otimes_R M$ into a controlled S-module. This construction respects all finiteness conditions and cofibrations, so we obtain an exact functor $S \otimes_R -\colon \mathcal{C}^G_?(X;R) \to \mathcal{C}^G_?(X;S)$. Next we prove:

Theorem 4.2.4 (Change of rings)**.** *Let $f\colon R \to S$ be map of simplicial rings which is a weak equivalence. Then f induces a map $\mathcal{C}^G_f(X;R) \to \mathcal{C}^G_f(X;S)$ which is an equivalence on algebraic K-Theory.*

For technical reasons we assume all modules to be finite-dimensional in this section. Therefore, we only have this theorem for the finiteness condition f. Note that the theorem for hf and hfd, except the K_0-part of hfd, is already implied by the Theorem 4.2.4 using Theorem 4.1.3.

The proof occupies the rest of this section, we need some preparations first. A map of simplicial rings which is a weak equivalence of the underlying simplicial sets is called a *weak equivalence of simplicial rings* for short.

Lemma 8.4.1. *Let $R \to S$ be a weak equivalence of simplicial rings and P a cellular (uncontrolled) R-module. Let $\eta\colon P \to \mathrm{res}_R\, S \otimes_R P$ be the unit of the adjunction between the induction $S \otimes_R -$ and the restriction res_R. Then η is a weak equivalence of simplicial R-modules and, in particular, a homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets.*

Proof. This follows from the gluing lemma and induction over the dimension of P. We obtain a pushout-diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\coprod R[\Delta^n] & \longleftarrow & \coprod R[\partial\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\coprod S[\Delta^n] & \longleftarrow & \coprod S[\partial\Delta^n] & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_R P_{n-1}
\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are weak equivalences of simplicial R-modules, hence by the gluing lemma for simplicial R-modules (cf. [GJ99, II.8.12;III.2.14]) the pushout $P_n \to S \otimes_R P_n$ is a weak equivalence. We have $P = \bigcup_n P_n$ and the n-skeleton of P and P_n agree. Also the n-skeleton of $S \otimes_R P$ and $S \otimes_R P_n$ agree and $S \otimes_R P = \bigcup_n S \otimes_R P_n$. Therefore, $P \to S \otimes_R P$ is a weak equivalence. As simplicial abelian groups are fibrant as simplicial sets the weak equivalence is a homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets. □

Lemma 8.4.2. *Let $M, P \in \mathcal{C}^G_a(X;R)$. Let $i\colon A \subseteq M$ be a cellular submodule. Assume M is finite-dimensional. Let $g\colon A \rightarrowtail P$ and $\widehat{f}\colon S \otimes_R M \to S \otimes_R P$*

be maps such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\otimes_R A & \xrightarrow{S\otimes g} & S\otimes_R P \\ {\scriptstyle S\otimes i}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\widehat{f}} & \\ S\otimes_R M & & \end{array} \tag{23}$$

commutes. Then there is a map $f\colon M\to P$ extending g such that $\widehat{f}$ is homotopic to $S\otimes_R f$ relative to the cellular submodule $S\otimes_R A$ of $S\otimes_R M$.

The lemma shows that up to homotopy a map $S\otimes_R M\to S\otimes_R P$ comes from a map $M\to P$. We need the relative version.

Proof. Assume M, $\widehat{f}$, g, P are E-controlled. We do induction over the dimension of cells of M which are not in A. As usual it suffices to consider only one cell. Let $e\colon R[\Delta^n]\to M$ be attached to A via $\partial\colon R[\partial\Delta^n]\to A$.

Looking at the smallest submodules containing e, ∂e and $\widehat{f}(S\otimes_R e)$ we obtain the following commutative diagram. (We denote by $S\otimes_R e$ the cell in $S\otimes_R M$ corresponding to e via the isomorphism $\diamond_R M\cong\diamond_S(S\otimes_R M)$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\otimes_R \langle\partial e\rangle_A & \longrightarrow & \left\langle \widehat{f}(S\otimes_R e)\right\rangle_{S\otimes_R P} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ S\otimes_R \langle e\rangle_M & & \end{array}$$

Because everything is E-controlled the support of every module is contained in $\{\kappa(e)\}^E$. Note that $\left\langle \widehat{f}(S\otimes_R e)\right\rangle_{S\otimes_R P}$ is isomorphic to $S\otimes_R P'$ for $P'\subseteq P$ a cellular R-submodule. Using the adjunction $S\otimes_R -$ and restriction res_R we obtain the solid commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[\partial\Delta^n] & \dashrightarrow & \langle\partial e\rangle_A & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \sim\downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ R[\Delta^n] & \dashrightarrow & \langle e\rangle_M & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{res}_R S\otimes_R P' \end{array}$$

Here η is the unit of the adjunction. We want to find a lift up to homotopy relative to $\langle\partial e\rangle_A$ in the solid diagram. We can extend the diagram to the left by the dashed square, which is a pushout square. Hence, using the adjunction $R[-]$ and forgetful functor, it suffices to construct a lift up to homotopy relative to $\partial\Delta^n$ in the diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{g} & P' \\ {\scriptstyle i}\downarrow & & \sim\downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} & \mathrm{res}_R S\otimes_R P' \end{array} .$$

There is a lift up to homotopy because by Lemma 8.4.1 η is a homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets. With more effort we can arrange that we lift to a map $f\colon \Delta^n \to P'$ with $f \circ i = g$ and $\eta \circ f$ is homotopic to $\widehat{f}$ with the homotopy being trivial when restricted via i. (Use the mapping cylinder to factor η and then use that we can find strict lifts for surjective homotopy equivalences and deformation retractions.)

We obtain a map

$$f\colon \langle e\rangle_M \to P'$$

such that $S\otimes_R f$ is homotopic to $\widehat{f}\colon S\otimes_R\langle e\rangle_M \to S\otimes_R P'$ relative to $S\otimes_R\langle \partial e\rangle_A$ and $f_{|\langle \partial e\rangle_A} = g_{|\langle \partial e\rangle_A}$. As $S\otimes_R P'$ has support on $\{\kappa_R(e)\}^E\}$ the map and the homotopy are E-controlled.

Assuming the first cells of M which are not in A are of dimension n, we can use this procedure and the homotopy extension property to produce a map $S\otimes_R M \to S\otimes_R P$ which satisfies the assumption of the lemma for an $A' = A \cup \mathrm{sk}_n M$. Induction and the finite-dimensionality of M finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2.4. We use Waldhausen's Approximation Theorem 8.3.2 for the functor $F := S\otimes_R -\colon \mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R) \to \mathcal{C}_f^G(X;S)$. We prove (App 1) first. Let $\alpha\colon M \to M'$ be a map in $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ such that $S\otimes_R \alpha$ is a homotopy equivalence in $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;S)$. By Lemma 8.4.2, there is a map $\beta'\colon M' \to M$ such that the homotopy inverse $\beta\colon S\otimes_R M' \to S\otimes_R M$ of $S\otimes_R \alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}_?^G(X;S)$ is homotopic to $S\otimes_R \beta'$. Hence, there is a homotopy $H\colon S\otimes_R M[\Delta^1] \to S\otimes_R M$ from $S\otimes_R \mathrm{id}_R$ to $S\otimes_R(\beta'\circ\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;S)$ which is homotopic relative to $M[\partial\Delta^1]$ to a homotopy $S\otimes_R H'$ where H' is a homotopy from id_R to $\beta'\circ\alpha$, using Lemma 8.4.2 again. Vice versa for $\alpha\circ\beta'$, so α is also a homotopy equivalence in $\mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$.

For (App 2) consider $M \in \mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$ and $N \in \mathcal{C}_f^G(X;S)$ and a map $f\colon S\otimes_R M \to N$. We can assume that it is a cellular inclusion by taking the mapping cylinder. We show that N is homotopy equivalent to a module $S\otimes_R \overline{M}^2$, with $\overline{M}^2 \in \mathcal{C}_f^G(X;R)$, $M \subseteq \overline{M}^2$ and the homotopies are relative to $S\otimes_R M$.

Assume that the n-skeleton of $S\otimes_R M$ and N agree. Let N^{n+1} be the $(n+1)$-skeleton of N relative to $S\otimes_R M$, i.e., $N^{n+1} = \mathrm{sk}_{n+1}N \cup S\otimes_R M$. Then N^{n+1} is the pushout

$$S[\coprod \Delta^{n+1}] \longleftarrow\!\prec S[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\varphi^{n+1}} S\otimes_R M\ .$$

where φ^{n+1} is the attaching map for the cells. By Lemma 8.4.2, there is a map $\psi^{n+1}\colon R[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}] \to M$ such that $S\otimes_R \psi^{n+1}$ is homotopic to a φ^{n+1}. Call the homotopy H^{n+1}. Applying the gluing lemma to the diagram (where

all vertical maps are homotopy equivalences)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S[\coprod \Delta^{n+1}] & \longleftarrow & S[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{n+1}} & S \otimes_R M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S[\coprod \Delta^{n+1}][\Delta^1] & \longleftarrow & S[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}][\Delta^1] & \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}} & S \otimes_R M[\Delta^1] \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
S \otimes_R R[\coprod \Delta^{n+1}] & \longleftarrow & S \otimes_R R[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}] & \xrightarrow{S\otimes\psi^{n+1}} & S \otimes_R M
\end{array}$$

shows that the pushout of the first row is homotopy equivalent to the pushout of the last row. (This is a simplicial version of the topological fact that homotopic attaching maps yield homotopy equivalent CW-complexes.) Choose such a homotopy equivalence ξ. In the last row $S \otimes_R -$ commutes with the pushout, define $\overline{M}$ as the pushout of

$$R[\coprod \Delta^{n+1}] \longleftarrow R[\coprod \partial\Delta^{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\psi^{n+1}} M \ .$$

Then consider the pushout along $\xi\colon N^{n+1} \to S \otimes_R M$ and the inclusion $N^{n+1} \rightarrowtail N$ to obtain $\overline{N}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N^{n+1} & \rightarrowtail & N \\
{\scriptstyle\xi}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\simeq} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\simeq} \\
S \otimes_R \overline{M} & \underset{\overline{f}}{\rightarrowtail} & \overline{N}
\end{array}$$

Now the $(n+1)$-skeleton of $S \otimes_R \overline{M}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{N}$ via $\overline{f}$. By induction, and because N is finite-dimensional, we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S \otimes_R M & \rightarrowtail & N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\simeq} \\
S \otimes_R \overline{M}^1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \overline{N}^1
\end{array}$$

which we can make into the desired diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & N \ . \\
{\scriptstyle S\otimes -}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\sim} & \\
S \otimes_R \overline{M}^2 & &
\end{array}$$

using a homotopy inverse for the right map and defining $\overline{M}^2$ as the mapping cylinder of $M \to \overline{M}^1$ to make the diagram strictly commutative. This proves (App 2). The theorem follows by the Approximation Theorem 8.3.2. $\square$

9 Applications

We discuss several applications of our category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$. We will not provide proofs because they require considerably more technology.

9.1 Controlled algebra for discrete rings

Each discrete ring R_d can be made into a simplicial ring taking the constant functor $[n] \mapsto R_d$ where all structure maps are the identity. Then a simplicial module over R_d is, essentially, by the Dold-Kan-Theorem (see [GJ99, III.2.3]), a non-negatively graded chain complex. More precisely, there is an adjunction between simplicial modules and non-negative chain complexes and this adjunction is a equivalence on homotopy categories. Furthermore, a cellular simplicial R_d-module with cells only in dimension 0 is just a free R_d-module.

Consider the subcategory of 0-dimensional controlled cellular simplicial R_d-modules, which no longer has nice homotopic properties, but is an additive category. In fact, this is essentially the category of controlled R_d-modules of e.g. [BFJR04] or [PW85]. (There is a small technical difference between our work and that of [BFJR04] in the definition of morphisms, however, that does not affect the algebraic K-theory.)

Therefore, the category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ we present here can be viewed as a homotopical generalization of the category of controlled R_d-modules. Unfortunately, it comes with a price: the arguments to surrounding $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ become more involved. There are simple arguments in the case of discrete modules and rings which under this generalization would necessitate invoking Waldhausen's approximation theorem. Still, we believe that most arguments have an analogue for $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$.

9.2 The Farrell-Jones Conjecture

9.2.1 Statement and Significance Let R be a discrete ring or a simplicial ring and G a group. The Farrell-Jones Conjecture provides a calculation of $K_n(R[G])$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the algebraic K-theory of the group ring $R[G]$, in terms of the algebraic K-theory of R and the geometry of the group G. More precisely, it claims that the *assembly map*

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{VC}}G; \mathbf{K}_R) \to K_n(R[G])$$

is an isomorphism for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the right-hand side is the nonconnective algebraic K-theory of the group ring $R[G]$, while the left-hand side is the G-equivariant homology theory with coefficients in the G-equivariant nonconnective K-theory spectrum, evaluated at the classifying space of G for virtual cyclic subgroups. We refrain from discussing details, as the Farrell-Jones Conjecture is not our main focus in this article and refer to [Bar13] or the slightly outdated survey [LR05].

The Farrell-Jones Conjecture implies a plethora of other usually long-standing conjectures. This includes the vanishing of the Whitehead Group for torsion-free groups and the Borel Conjecture about the rigidity of aspherical manifolds. We refer to [LR05, BLR08b] for details. Therefore, it is interesting to know the Farrell-Jones Conjecture for as many rings R, called the *coefficients*, and groups G as possible.

9.2.2 Status and Proofs There is recent and ongoing progress on the Farrell-Jones Conjecture which has substantially enlarged the class of groups for which it is known. Recent approaches prove a more general version, the "Farrell-Jones Conjecture with wreath products", see Section 6 of [BLRR13]. Also, that version allows any additive category $\mathcal{A}$ as coefficients. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of finitely generated free R-modules, we recover the version stated above.

Recent work by Bartels, Farrell, Lück, Reich, Rüping, Wegner, Wu and others establishes the "Farrell-Jones Conjecture with wreath products and coefficients in an additive category" for large classes of groups—most recently for $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and some related groups in [BLRR13], solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups in [FW13] and, more generally, solvable groups in [Weg13].

All recent proofs have in common that they start by translating the Farrell-Jones Conjecture to a problem in the algebraic K-theory of controlled algebra, a strategy first formulated in this way in [BLR08a].

9.2.3 A reformulation in terms of controlled algebra Let Z be a G-CW-complex. We want to make $X \times G \times [1,\infty)$ into a G-control space. Recall the continuous control structure $\mathcal{E}_{cc}$ on $X \times [1,\infty)$ from Example 2.2.7. We can pull back this morphism control conditions along the projection $p\colon X \times G \times [1,\infty) \to X \times [1,\infty)$ by setting

$$p^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{cc} := \{(p \times p)^{-1}(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}_{cc}\}.$$

Then $p^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{cc}$ is a morphism control structure on $X \times G \times [1,\infty)$. We obtain an object support structure by setting

$$\mathcal{F}_{Gc}(X \times G) := \{G.K \times [1,\infty) \mid K \subseteq X \times G \text{ is compact}\}$$

where $G.K$ is the G-orbit of K. If X is $E_{\mathcal{VC}}G$, the classifying space for G (cf. [tD87, I.6]) and the family $\mathcal{VC}$ of virtually cyclic subgroups, we obtain a category

$$\mathcal{O}^G = \mathcal{C}_f^G(E_{\mathcal{VC}}G \times G \times [1,\infty), p^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{cc}, \mathcal{F}_{Gc}; R)$$

of controlled simplicial R-modules. As explained above, for a discrete ring R_d there is a similar category of discrete controlled modules which we call $\mathcal{O}_d^G$ for brevity. As it is an additive category, its algebraic K-theory is defined.

Theorem ([BLR08a, 3.8]). *$K_i(\mathcal{O}_d^G) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the Farrell-Jones Conjecture holds for G.*

Hence, one can use controlled algebra and manipulation of the control space to prove the Farrell-Jones Conjecture. This is in fact the strategy carried out by recent proofs.

For simplicial rings, an analogue of the theorem holds by unpublished work of the author in [Ull11]. Thus this article should be viewed as first step to carry out the successful program of proving the Farrell-Jones Conjecture for discrete rings in the settings of simplicial rings.

9.3 Nonconnective algebraic K-theory

We provide a second direct application without proof. Consider the control space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{E}_d)$ arising from the euclidean metric. Then there is a map

$$K(R) \to \Omega^n K(\mathcal{C}_f(\mathbb{R}^n; R))$$

of connective K-theory spectra which is an isomorphism on π_i for $i \geq 1$ and an injection on π_0. This deloops $K(R)$, that means the $K(\mathcal{C}_f(\mathbb{R}^n; R))$ for varying n can be made into a spectrum which may have interesting negative homotopy groups and where the positive homotopy groups are those of $K(R)$. This is the first construction of a nonconnective K-theory spectrum for simplicial rings. It generalizes the delooping construction of $K(R_d)$ of [PW85]. However, is it known that $\pi_i K(R) = K_i(\pi_0 R)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Because a Bass-Heller-Swan theorem is expected to hold for algebraic K-theory of simplicial rings, this means that the negative algebraic K-groups of a simplicial ring are just those of the discrete ring $\pi_0 R$. But of course, a spectrum contains more information than its homotopy groups.

The proofs of both applications need considerably more technology in $\mathcal{C}^G(X; R)$, namely a notion of germs, which were developed in [Ull11]. We will come back to these in later work.

9.4 Ring spectra

There are generalizations of rings for which the Farrell-Jones Conjecture should give interesting results with implications to manifold theory. *Ring spectra* provide a natural generalization of rings, and simplicial rings are an intermediate step between rings and ring spectra. Algebraic K-theory can be defined for ring spectra, see in [EKMM97]. The statement of the Farrell-Jones Conjecture makes sense with connective ring spectra as coefficients. In fact, when Farrell and Jones in [FJ93, FJ87] originally stated and proved a version of their conjecture (for a certain class of groups), they also treated the case of pseudoisotopies, which is more or less the case where the sphere spectrum

are the coefficients. The sphere spectrum is the initial ring spectrum, like the integers are the initial ring.

We hope that the theory presented here can be adapted to ring spectra. We do not want to go into details, but let us remark that in the 1990's a variety of different models for ring spectra and categories of modules over ring spectra where developed. The main models are symmetric spectra [HSS00], orthogonal spectra [MMSS01] and S-modules [EKMM97]. The category of S-modules is special among these as it has the nice property that it has a model structure such that every object is fibrant and [EKMM97, III.2] provides a nice theory of cellular objects. As we build our category $\mathcal{C}^G(X;R)$ from cellular modules (cf. Definition 2.3.4), it looks like cellular S-modules are a suitable candidate to carry out the program presented here. However, there will be a non-trivial amount of work involved, as the category of S-modules is rather hard to define.

A Appendix: a simplicial mapping telescope

A map $\eta\colon K \to K$ in $\mathcal{C}^G$ is called a *homotopy idempotent* if η^2 is homotopic to η. Here we provide the necessary tools we need about homotopy idempotents in this and later work. This gives some insight into the category $\mathcal{C}^G_{hfd}(X;R)$, for any control space X and simplicial ring R.

We defined the Waldhausen category $\mathcal{C}^G = \mathcal{C}^G(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}; R)$ for a control space $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ and a simplicial ring R in Section 2.5.3.

A.1 Coherent homotopy idempotents

Some parts of Theorem A.2.2 below need an extra assumption on the idempotent, which we will define now. We use the diagram language of 6.4.3 in what follows.

Definition A.1.1. *A homotopy idempotent $\eta\colon K \to K$ with homotopy H from η^2 to η is called* ***coherent*** *if there is a map $G\colon K[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to K$ whose restrictions to the boundary are given by the following diagram*



If $\eta^2 = \eta$ then η is coherent. More generally, assume L is homotopy dominated by K (cf. Definition 7.4.1). This means that we have maps $i\colon L \to K$, $p\colon K \to L$ and a homotopy $H'\colon p \circ i \simeq \mathrm{id}_L$. Then $\eta := i \circ p$ is a homotopy idempotent with homotopy $i \circ H' \circ p$ from η^2 to η.

Lemma A.1.2. *If η arises from a homotopy domination, it is coherent.*

Proof. The coherence homotopy G can be given by the composition

$$K[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \cong K[\Delta^1][\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{p[\Delta^1][\Delta^1]} L[\Delta^1][\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{H'[\Delta^1]} L[\Delta^1] \xrightarrow{H'} L \xrightarrow{i} K. \ \square$$

A.2 A mapping telescope and split homotopy idempotents

We will show that if η is a coherent homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G$, then it splits up to homotopy. For this we use a construction analogous to the mapping telescope in topological spaces. We summarize the results we need in Theorem A.2.2 and directly deduce the splitting as Corollary A.2.3. The proof of Theorem A.2.2 itself will occupy the rest of this appendix.

Remark A.2.1. The author does not know if every homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G$ is coherent. For the topological case it is known that there are unpointed homotopy idempotents of infinite-dimensional CW-complexes which do not split, however every pointed homotopy idempotent as well as every homotopy idempotent of finite-dimensional CW-complexes split, see [HH82].

Theorem A.2.2. *Let $\eta\colon K \to K$ be map in $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$. There is a construction $\mathrm{Tel}(-)$ which assigns to η an object $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ in $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$. It has the following properties:*

1. *There is a cellular inclusion $\iota\colon K \rightarrowtail \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$.*
2. *Let*
$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \end{array}$$
be a strict commutative diagram. Then f induces a map $f_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\mu) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. This is functorial in f. In particular, if f is an isomorphism then $\mathrm{Tel}(f)$ is an isomorphism.
3. *If $\eta, \mu\colon K \to K$ are homotopic maps then there is a homotopy equivalence*
$$\mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Tel}(\mu).$$
4. *Consider the telescope $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ of the map $\mathrm{id}_K\colon K \to K$. There is a map*
$$\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K) \to K$$
which is a homotopy equivalence.
5. *All maps in (2) to (4) are relative to $\iota\colon K \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$, i.e., they commute with this cellular inclusion.*

Now assume additionally that $\eta\colon K \to K$ is a homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$.

6. *From* (2) *we obtain for $\mu = \eta = f$ an induced map $\eta_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. This map is a homotopy equivalence. If η is coherent, η_* is homotopic to* id.

7. *If η is coherent then there is a map $c\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to K$ such that $\iota \circ c$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ is a homotopy retract of K. Furthermore, $c \circ \iota$ is homotopic to η itself.*

Corollary A.2.3 (Coherent homotopy idempotents split)**.** *Let $\eta\colon K \to K$ be a coherent homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Then there is a $B \in \mathcal{C}^G$ such that K is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$. Moreover, under this equivalence η corresponds to the projection $\mathrm{pr}\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$, i.e., there is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}} \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \end{array}$$

where f is the homotopy equivalence $K \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$.

Proof. We know by A.2.2 (7) that $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ is a homotopy retract of K. We use the mapping cylinder and the procedure from Section 6.4.4 to produce a *strictly* commutative diagram (on the left) from the *homotopy* commutative diagram (on the right):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) & \xrightarrow{c} & K \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ & & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}} & T(c) \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \end{array}$$

Here $T(c)$ is the mapping cylinder of c and inc is a cellular inclusion. Let B denote the cofiber of inc. The sum of the retraction $T(c) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ and the quotient map $T(c) \to B$ produces a map $s\colon T(c) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$ (using that $\mathcal{C}^G$ is an additive category). The map makes the following diagram of cofiber sequences commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) & \rightarrowtail & T(c) & \twoheadrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) & \rightarrowtail & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B & \twoheadrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

The extension axiom 6.7.3 shows that s is a homotopy equivalence. This gives the homotopy equivalence $f\colon K \to T(c) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$.

By A.2.2 (7), the map $\eta\colon K \to K$ factorizes up to homotopy as $c \circ i\colon K \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to K$. Hence, the upper triangle in the following diagram is homotopy commutative, whereas the lower one commutes strictly.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & & \xrightarrow{\eta} & & K \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\downarrow & \nearrow_{c} & & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) & \rightarrowtail & T(c) & \twoheadrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

It follows that $K \xrightarrow{\eta} K \to T(c) \to B$ is homotopic to the zero map.

Furthermore, $K \to T(c) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ equals ι, hence by adding homotopies the map

$$f \circ \eta\colon K \to K \xrightarrow{\simeq} T(c) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$$

is homotopic to $K \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \rightarrowtail \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B$. The following diagram is strictly commutative by A.2.2 (2) and (5), where 0_B denotes the zero map on B.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \\ {\scriptstyle\eta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_* \vee 0_B} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \end{array}$$

As $\eta_* \simeq \mathrm{id}$ by A.2.2 (6) it follows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow[f]{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \vee 0_B} \\ K & \xrightarrow[f]{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \vee B \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative. □

The proof of Theorem A.2.2 is a bit involved. We give an outline of the arguments in Section A.3 before we turn to the technical details.

A.3 Structure of the proof

Let us outline the proof of Theorem A.2.2. We list the sections in which we prove the claimed statements at the end of this section. In this section let I be the simplicial interval Δ^1.

A.3.1 The Definition. From $\eta\colon K \to K$ we can build the mapping cylinder $M^I(\eta)$, which is the (solid) pushout diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K[1] & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_1} \\ K[0] \rightarrowtail K[I] & \longrightarrow & M^I(\eta) \end{array} \qquad (24)$$

We obtain two inclusions: ι_1 and the shown composition ι_0. Gluing infinitely many cylinders together we obtain the mapping telescope $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ as the following pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i=1}^{\infty} A[i] \amalg \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} A[i+1] & \xrightarrow{\iota_0 \amalg \iota_1} & \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} M^I(\eta) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{i=1}^{\infty} A[i] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \end{array} \qquad (25)$$

The map $\iota_0 \amalg \iota_1$ sends the summand $A[i]$ into the ith copy of $M^I(\eta)$ via ι_0 and the summand $A[j+1]$ into the ith copy of $M^I(\eta)$ via ι_1. The map c sends $A[l]$ to $A[l]$. This defines $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$.

A.3.2 Theorem A.2.2.(1) and (2). The inclusion $\iota_0\colon A[0] \to M^{I(0,1)}(f)$ induces a map $\iota\colon K \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. The commutative diagram from A.2.2.(2) induces a map of diagrams (24) and therefore a map $M^I(\mu) \to M^I(\eta)$ which yields a map $f_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\mu) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$.

A.3.3 Homotopy commutative diagrams and Theorem A.2.2.(3). For the next step we need to discuss homotopy commutative diagrams. Assume that have a (possibly noncommutative) solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & \overset{H}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

where $g \circ a$ is homotopic to $a \circ f$ via a homotopy $H\colon A[I] \to B$, indicated in the interior of the diagram above. We get a map $M^I(f) \to B$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A[I] & \xleftarrow[\iota_0]{} & A[1] & \longrightarrow & M^I(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B[I] & \longleftarrow & B[1] & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array} \qquad (26)$$

induces a map $(H,a)_*\colon M^{I'}(f) \to M^I(g)$ on the pushouts of the rows, where I' is now the *concatenation* of two copies of I, i.e., $I' = \Delta^1 \cup_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1$.

Note $M^{I'}(f)$ is also the pushout of $A[I'] \leftarrow A[1] \xrightarrow{f} A$. In the category of topological spaces $M^{I'}(f)$ and $M^I(f)$ would be homeomorphic and we would obtain a map $M^I(f) \to M^I(g)$. For this outline, we pretend we have this map in our simplicial context. Hence, the map $(H,a)_*$ gives a map $(H,a)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}(g)$, which depends on the homotopy H.

If $\eta, \mu\colon K \to K$ are homotopic via a homotopy H from μ to η we obtain a map $(H,\mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\mu)$. The inverse homotopy $\overline{H}$ from μ to η gives a map $(\overline{H},\mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\mu) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. We can *stack* homotopy commutative squares as shown below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & \swarrow H & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\
K & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & \swarrow \overline{H} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\
K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K
\end{array}$$

We will describe the composition of $(H,\mathrm{id})_*$ and $(\overline{H},\mathrm{id})_*$ on $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. We then will develop a criterion which implies that this composition is homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)}$. Therefore, $(H,\mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\mu)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

A.3.4 Theorem A.2.2.(4) and (5). In the topological category $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ would just be $K \times [0,\infty)$, therefore homotopy equivalent to K. In the simplicial context, after we define a simplicial "interval $[0,\infty)$" (in Section A.4.4), we similarly have $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K) \cong K[[0,\infty)]$ and the Convergent Homotopy Lemma A.7.1 provides a homotopy equivalence in that case. This uses the Kan Extension Property in $\mathcal{C}^G$ in a crucial way.

We will show that all maps described so far respect the inclusion ι from above.

A.3.5 Theorem A.2.2.(6). Let $\eta\colon K \to K$ be a map in $\mathcal{C}^G$. By "sliding down the cylinder", the top inclusion $\iota_0\colon K \to M^I(\eta)$ is homotopic to the composition $K \xrightarrow{\eta} K \xrightarrow{\iota_1} M^I(\eta)$. Consider $M^I(\eta) \cup_{\iota_1,\iota_0} M^I(\eta)$. This is two mapping cylinders glued together, like in the telescope construction. We have two inclusions A_0, A_1 of $M^I(\eta)$ into $M^I(\eta) \cup_{\iota_1,\iota_0} M^I(\eta)$. The homotopy from ι_0 to $\eta \circ \iota_1$ extends to a homotopy from the first inclusion A_0 to $A_1 \circ \eta_*$. This further extends to a homotopy between $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)}$ and a composition of maps $\mathrm{sh} \circ \eta_*$. Here $\mathrm{sh}\colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ is the map which maps the component $M^I(f)$ at position i to $M^I(f)$ at position $i+1$, and η_* is the map induced by η on the telescope.

If η_* is a coherent homotopy idempotent, we show that $\eta_* \circ \eta_* \simeq \eta_*$ as maps $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$ and therefore, $\eta_* \simeq \mathrm{sh} \circ \eta_* \circ \eta_* \simeq \mathrm{sh} \circ \eta_* \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)}$.

A.3.6 Theorem A.2.2.(7). Because η is a homotopy idempotent we have a stacking of homotopy commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & \overset{H}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & \overset{\overline{H}}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \end{array} .$$

We obtain maps $(H, \eta)_* \colon \mathrm{Tel}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ and $(\overline{H}, \eta)_* \colon \mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K) \to \mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$. We show that the compositions are homotopic to η_* on $\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)$, which is homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}(\eta)}$ by (6), and to η_* on $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K)$. By A.2.2.(4), we have $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K) \simeq K$. By inspecting the resulting maps closely, we obtain that η_* on $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ indeed corresponds to $\eta \colon K \to K$.

We left out quite a few details in the outline above. The most important involves concatenation of homotopies, which necessitates an analogue of "Moore homotopies". These are homotopies where we allow the "intervals" to have different lengths and even different directions. These occur when we investigate the maps induced by homotopy commutative diagrams, cf. the diagram A.3.3.(26).

The main difficulty is that for $I' = \Delta^1 \cup_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1$ the mapping cylinders $M^{I'}(f)$ and $M^I(f)$ are in general only homotopy equivalent and not isomorphic. We later discuss composition of maps and want certain maps to be equal, not only homotopic. The solution is to remember the "interval" I' (and its larger relatives). Because we need inverse homotopies we must allow intervals in different directions. (See also Remark A.5.9.)

We will introduce intervals in the category of simplicial sets in the next section, Section A.4. We discuss the resulting "long homotopies" in Section A.5 and compare intervals of different length in Section A.6. Then we discuss homotopies of infinite length and prove the Convergent Homotopy Lemma in A.7. We define the mapping telescope for these long homotopies in A.8. This provides parts (1), (2) and (4) of Theorem A.2.2. Homotopy commutative squares are discussed in A.9. We show that they induce maps on telescopes and give in A.10 a criterion when those are homotopic. The last three sections A.11 to A.13 finally prove parts (3), (6) and (7) of Theorem A.2.2. (5) is obvious.

A.4 Simplicial Intervals

We start by defining what we will mean by an *interval* in the category of simplicial sets. (We have not seen our definition in the literature.) The name is chosen to stress the analogies to the topological setting. Our definition is essentially a nice formal description of simplicial set of the form $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ etc.

Definition A.4.1 (Simplicial intervals)**.**

1. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. A one-point simplicial set $I(i)$, $I(i)_k = \{i\}$, together with a bijection $l\colon I(i)_0 \to \{i\}$ from its zero simplices is a called a* ***point at*** *i or* ***interval of length*** *0* ***from*** *i* ***to*** *i.*

2. *An* ***interval of length*** *1* ***from*** *i* ***to*** *$(i+1)$, denoted $I(i, i+1)$, is a simplicial set isomorphic to Δ^1 together with a bijection of its zero simplices to the set $\{i, i+1\}$, $l\colon I(i,i+1)_0 \to \{i, i+1\}$. The map l is called the* ***labeling****.*

3. *Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i+2 \leq j$. An* ***interval of length*** *$(i-j)$* ***from*** *i* ***to*** *j is a simplicial set $I(i,j)$ together with a bijection $l\colon I(i,j)_0 \to \{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ such that there is a pushout diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(j-1) & \rightarrowtail & I(j-1,j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I(i,j-1) & \longrightarrow & I(i,j) \end{array} \tag{27}$$

   where the maps are compatible with the labelings and $I(j-1) \rightarrowtail I(j-1,i)$, $I(j-1) \rightarrowtail I(i,j-1)$ are the obvious inclusions.

4. *The* ***standard interval from*** *i* ***to*** *$(i+1)$ is the simplicial set Δ^1 together with the labeling $l(0) = i$, $l(1) = i+1$. The* ***standard interval from*** *i* ***to*** *j for $i+2 \leq j$ is the simplicial set arising from the standard interval from i to $j-1$ by the pushout (27) with $I(j-1,j)$ being the standard interval of length 1.*

5. *An interval $I(i,j)$ from i to j is called* ***ordered*** *if it is isomorphic to the standard interval from i to j and the isomorphism respects the labeling.*

Remark A.4.2. We sometimes draw pictures for intervals. The standard interval is $0 \to 1$. The four intervals for $I(0,2)$ are the following ones:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \to 1 \to 2 & 0 \to 1 \leftarrow 2 \\ 0 \leftarrow 1 \to 2 & 0 \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow 2. \end{array}$$

The notion $I(i,j)$ is ambiguous, as we want it to cover all possible orderings.

A.4.3 Concise notation We often just write $I(i,j)$ for an interval from i to j leaving all the other data understood. For $A \in \mathcal{C}^G$ we also often abbreviate $A[I(i,j)]$ as $A[i,j]$ and $A[I(i)]$ as $A[i]$, slightly misusing notation.

A.4.4 The infinite interval Define a simplicial set $I(i,\infty)$ to be an *interval from i to ∞* if it is the filtered colimit (or union) of intervals $I(i,j)$ for $j \to \infty$. It is called *ordered* if each of the $I(i,j)$ is.

A.5 Long Homotopies

Our notion of interval gives rise to a notion of homotopy.

Definition A.5.1 (Long Homotopy)**.** *Let $I(0,j)$ be an interval from 0 to j. Let $f_0, f_j \colon A \to B$ be two maps in $\mathcal{C}^G$. A **long homotopy** from f_0 to f_j is a map $H \colon A[I(0,j)] \to B$ such that the restriction to $A[0]$ is f_0 and the restriction to $A[j]$ is f_j. We say that H has **length** j.*

Example A.5.2. If $f \colon A \to B$ is a map in $\mathcal{C}^G$ and $I(0,i)$ any interval we always have the *trivial homotopy* $\mathrm{Tr} \colon A[0,i] \to B$ induced by the map $A[0,i] \to A \to B$. We also define it for $i = 0$ and therefore call the map $\mathrm{Tr} \colon A[0,0] = A[0] = A \xrightarrow{f} B$ the *trivial homotopy of length* 0.

A.5.3 Ordinary and long homotopies Every homotopy in the usual sense is a long homotopy of length 1. Every long homotopy gives a homotopy in the usual sense by the Kan property. This is not functorial, which is the reason why we need to consider long homotopies. We will omit the "long" in the following.

A.5.4 Concatenation of intervals If $I(0,i)$ and $I(0,j)$ are intervals we define the concatenation $I(0,i) \square I(0,j)$ to be the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(i) & \longrightarrow & I(i,i+j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I(0,i) & \longrightarrow & I(0,i) \square I(0,j) \end{array}$$

where $I(i,i+j)$ is defined as a relabeling of $I(0,j)$, replace the labeling l of $I(0,j)$ by $l(k) = i + k$.

A.5.5 Concatenation of homotopies Homotopies which agree on the start resp. endpoint can be concatenated. For $H_1 \colon A[0,i] \to B$, $H_2 \colon A[0,j] \to B$ with $H_{1|A[i]} = H_{2|A[0]}$ define the *concatenation*

$$H_1 \square H_2 \colon A[0,i+j] \to B$$

as the map induced by the identification on the pushout $I(0,i) \square I(0,j)$. The concatenation of homotopies is strictly associative.

A.5.6 Inverse homotopies If $I(0,j)$ is an interval, define the *reversed interval* $\overline{I(0,j)}$ as the same simplicial set with the labeling l replaced by $\bar{l}(k) := j - l(k)$. If $H\colon A[I(0,j)] \to B$ is a homotopy the *inverse homotopy* $\overline{H}$ is the obvious map $\overline{H}\colon A[\overline{I(0,j)}] \to B$.

If $j = 1$ and $I(0,j)$ is an ordered interval we draw the homotopy as $\xrightarrow{H}$ and the inverse homotopy as $\xleftarrow{H}$.

Lemma A.5.7 (Concating a homotopy and its inverse)**.** *Let $H\colon A[0,1] \to B$ be a homotopy. The concatenation $H \square \overline{H}$ is homotopic, relative boundary, to the trivial homotopy* $\mathrm{Tr}\colon A[0,2] \to A \to B$*.*

Proof. Assume that $I(0,1)$ is the standard interval, the other case proceeds similarly. The homotopy $A[0,2][\Delta^1] \to B$ is given by the left diagram below. It is constructed by gluing the 2-simplices together which are shown on the right. These arise from the 2nd degeneracy map $\Delta^2 \to \Delta^1$.



□

A.5.8 Variations of the previous lemma In the proof of the lemma we gave a homotopy from the trivial homotopy to the given one. We can give one in the other direction by a similar proof where we use a map $A[\Delta^2] \to B$ arising from the Kan extension property.

The other concatenation, $\overline{H} \square H$, is also homotopic to the trivial homotopy. Furthermore, the lemma still holds if we allow an interval of length n instead of length 1. To prove this, one does induction over n and starts building the homotopy from the middle, filling outer parts in each induction step with some of four trivial homotopies of the remaining homotopies H' of length 1, like



These techniques will work in the more complicated situations later, hence we will only draw the diagrams for length 1 homotopies in the following.

Remark A.5.9. Lemma A.5.7 illustrates why we need intervals in different directions. It might be possible to avoid these in all the following proofs by choosing $\overline{H}$ to be the filling of the horn



but then we would at least have to remember not only the choice of $\overline{H}$, but the whole filling. Also, several of the later diagrams would lose their symmetry.

A.6 Comparing intervals of different length

We now show that for $A \in \mathcal{C}^G$ the modules $A[0,i]$, $(i \in \mathbb{N})$, are homotopy equivalent to A and the homotopies can be chosen to be relative to the endpoints. The result will follow from the next lemma.

Lemma A.6.1. *Let Λ^2_i be the ith horn and d_i the ith face of Δ^2. Then $A[d_i]$ and $A[\Lambda^2_i]$ are homotopy equivalent relative the 0-simplices of d_i. The homotopy equivalence can be chosen to be one of the maps $A[\Lambda^2_i] \to A[d_i]$ which induced by collapsing one 1-simplex.*

Proof. Consider the composition

$$A[\Lambda^2_i] \to A[\Delta^2] \to A[d_i]$$

where the first map is the inclusion. The last map, and hence the composition, can be induced by any map collapsing a 1-simplex not equal to d_1 or d_i. It is not hard to see that the first map has a deformation retraction by horn-filling. The second map is induced by a deformation retraction of simplicial sets. Therefore, the composition is a homotopy equivalence relative to the 0-simplices of d_i. □

Corollary A.6.2. *Let $I(0,1)$ be the standard interval and $I(0,i)$ any interval. Then we have a homotopy equivalence relative endpoints*

$$A[0,1] \simeq A[0,i].$$

Proof. Lemma A.6.1 implies $A[0,2] \simeq A[0,1]$ relative endpoints if there is a projection $I(0,2) \to I(0,1)$. It also implies $A[\to] \simeq A[\leftarrow]$ relative endpoints by the chain $A[\to] \simeq A[\to\leftarrow] \simeq A[\leftarrow]$ of homotopy equivalences relative endpoints. The corollary follows by induction. □

A.7 Homotopies of infinite length and the Convergent Homotopy Lemma

In the following, we assume for simplicity that the infinite interval $I(0,\infty)$ is ordered (cf. A.4.4). We abbreviate $A[I(0,\infty)]$, $A \in \mathcal{C}^G$, as $A[0,\infty)$. We suggestively call a map $A[0,\infty) \to B$ a homotopy *of infinite length*. From such a homotopy we want to obtain a homotopy of length 1. In general, this is impossible. But if the homotopy is *convergent* in the sense we define below, this can be done.

Lemma A.7.1 (Convergent Homotopy Lemma)**.** *Let $H\colon A[0,\infty) \to B$ be a* ***convergent homotopy****, this means we assume:*

1. *There is a filtration $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A$ by cellular submodules such that $\bigcup_i A_i = A$.*
2. *For each A_i there is an n_i such that $H_{|A_i[n_i,\infty)}$ is the trivial homotopy* Tr *(cf. A.5.2).*

Then there exists a homotopy $G\colon A[\Delta^1] \to B$ with $G_{|A[0]} = H_{|A[0]}$ and $G_{|A_i[1]} = H_{|A_i[n_i]}$.

Remark A.7.2. Recall that $A_i[n_i]$ and $A_i[n_i,\infty)$ denote obvious cellular submodules of $A[0,\infty)$. We can and will assume in the proof that $n_{i+1} \geq n_i$.

This lemma is well-known in the topological case. G may be called the limit of the homotopy H.

Proof of Lemma A.7.1. We enlarge $I(0,\infty)$ to a new simplicial set $\widehat{I(0,\infty)}$ by filling some horns.

The subsimplicial set $I(0,2)$ is isomorphic to the horn Λ^2_1. We consider the pushout of $\Delta^2 \hookleftarrow \Lambda^2_1 \rightarrowtail I(0,\infty)$ and call it $\widehat{I(0,2)}$. It has an extra 1-simplex with boundaries $I(0)$ and $I(2)$ in $I(0,\infty)$, which we call $(0 \to 2)$.

In $\widehat{I(0,2)}$ the 1-simplices $(0, \to 2)$ and $I(2,3)$ constitute a horn Λ^2_1. Like before we define $\widehat{I(0,3)}$ to be the following pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^2_1 & \rightarrowtail & \Delta^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{I(0,2)} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{I(0,3)} \end{array}$$

Again it has an extra 1-simplex with boundaries $I(0)$ and $I(3)$ in $I(0,\infty)$, which we call $(0 \to 3)$. Now we proceed by induction and define $\widehat{I(0,\infty)}$ as the filtered colimit $\widehat{I(0,\infty)} := \bigcup_n \widehat{I(0,n)}$. Figure 1 sketches a picture of $\widehat{I(0,\infty)}$ with $I(0,\infty)$ being the bottom line.



Figure 1: A sketch of $\widehat{I(0,\infty)}$.

We have $A\widehat{[0,\infty)} = \bigcup_n A\widehat{[0,n]}$, with $A\widehat{[0,n]}$ and $A\widehat{[0,\infty)}$ being abbreviations for $A[\widehat{I(0,n)}]$ and $A[\widehat{I(0,\infty)}]$, respectively. Note that $A\widehat{[0,n]}$ arises from $A\widehat{[0,n-1]}$ by horn-filling. We want to construct a certain map $\widehat{H}\colon A\widehat{[0,\infty)} \to B$ which extends H.

We do induction over i. Assume that we have constructed a homotopy $G_i\colon A_i\widehat{[0,\infty)} \to B$ which extends $H\colon A_i[0,\infty) \to B$ and has the property that $G_{i|A_i[(0\to n)]} = G_{i|A_i[(0\to n_i)]}$ for all $n \geq n_i$. By iterating the relative horn-filling property 6.3.1, we can extend G_i to a map $A_{i+1}\widehat{[0,n_{i+1}]} \cup A_i\widehat{[0,\infty)} \to B$.

For $n \geq n_{i+1}$, we do not want to apply the relative horn-filling property as we need special fillings. By assumption, $H_{|A_{i+1}[n,n+1]}$ is the trivial homotopy Tr. Hence, the relative horn spanned by $A_{i+1}[(0 \to n)]$ and $A_{i+1}[n, n+1]$, given by $A_{i+1}[\Lambda^2_1] \to A_{i+1}\widehat{[0,n]} \cup A_i\widehat{[0,\infty)}$, can be filled in the following way



, (28)

where X is the homotopy coming from the previous horn-fillings. This defines a map $G_{i+1}\colon A_{i+1}\widehat{[0,\infty)}$ with $G_{i+1|A[(0\to n)]} = G_{i+1|A[(0\to n_{i+1})]}$ for all $n \geq n_{i+1}$. This shows the induction step.

Taking the colimit over G_i we obtain a map $\widehat{H}\colon A\widehat{[0,\infty)} \to B$. We now define $G_{|A_j}\colon A_j[\Delta^1] \to B$ as the restriction of $\widehat{H}$ (or equivalently G_j) to $A_j[(0 \to n_j)]$, i.e., to the 1-simplex from 0 to n_j. This is compatible with the inclusion $A_j \to A_{j+1}$ and thus the colimit over j gives the desired homotopy $G\colon A[\Delta^1] \to B$. □

Corollary A.7.3. *The map $i\colon A \to A[0,\infty)$ has a deformation retraction, so in particular i is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The map $[0,\infty) \to 0$ induces a retraction $r\colon A[0,\infty) \to A$ for i. We have to prove that the composition $i \circ r\colon A[0,\infty) \to A \to A[0,\infty)$ is homotopic to the identity. We use the Convergent Homotopy Lemma A.7.1. Define the convergent homotopy $H\colon A[0,\infty)[0,\infty) \to A[0,\infty)$ as the map induced by the map

$$(i,j) \mapsto \min(i,j)$$

where we use that map $[0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is determined on the 0-simplices. We regard j as the homotopy direction. This map has the following properties:

1. For $j = 0$ it is the projection to 0, hence the map $i \circ r$.
2. For any $j \geq i$ the map $A[0,i][j] \to A[0,i]$ is the identity.
3. $\bigcup_i A[0,i]$ is a filtration of $A[0,\infty)$ by cellular modules.

Now the Convergent Homotopy Lemma A.7.1 applies and hence we obtain a homotopy $G\colon A[0,\infty)[\Delta^1] \to A[0,\infty)$ from $i \circ r$ to the identity. □

A.8 The long mapping cylinder and the telescope

In the following, $I = I(0,i)$ is always an interval and $f\colon A \to A$ a map in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Define the mapping cylinder $M^I(f)$ for I of f like in A.3.1.(24) We obtain the front and back inclusion $\iota_0, \iota_1\colon A \to M^I(f)$. We have I in the notation because we need to keep track of it in the following. The definition is slightly different from the definition of the cylinder functor in 6.2 because the mapping cylinder will play a slightly different role here.

Definition A.8.1 (Long Mapping Telescope)**.** *Let I be an interval in the sense of Definition A.4.1. Define* $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$*, the* ***mapping telescope of*** $f\colon A \to A$ ***for the interval*** I *is the following pushout.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i=1}^{\infty} A[i] \amalg \coprod_{j=0}^{\infty} A[j+1] & \xrightarrow{\iota_0 \amalg \iota_1} & \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} M^I(f) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{i=1}^{\infty} A[i] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tel}^I(f) \end{array}$$

The map $\iota_0 \amalg \iota_1$ sends the summand $A[i]$ into the ith copy of $M^I(\eta)$ via ι_0 and the summand $A[j+1]$ into the ith copy of $M^I(\eta)$ via ι_1. The map c sends $A[l]$ to $A[l]$.

A.8.2 Front inclusion The front inclusion into the first mapping cylinder $\iota_0\colon A \to M^I(f)$ (which is not used in the diagram above) gives a map

$$\iota\colon A \to \mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$$

which is a called the *front inclusion* of the mapping cylinder.

Remark A.8.3. The telescope consists of infinitely many mapping cylinders glued together on the right. Each mapping cylinder has the same interval structure. To construct $\mathrm{Tel}(f)$ we used that countable coproducts exist in $\mathcal{C}^G$.

Lemma A.8.4. *$f \mapsto \mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$ is functorial, that is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ K & \xrightarrow{g} & K \end{array}$$

induces a map $a_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}(g)$. □

Remark A.8.5. As $\mathrm{Tel}(f) = \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$ (cf. A.3.1), Theorem A.2.2.(1) and (2) are now obvious. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Tel}(\mathrm{id}_K) \cong K[0,\infty)$, so Theorem A.2.2.(4) follows by Corollary A.7.3.

Using Corollaries A.6.2 and A.7.3 we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma A.8.6. *Recall Δ^1 is the standard interval.*

1. *The mapping cylinders for I and Δ^1 are homotopy equivalent relative to the front and the back inclusion: $M^I(f) \simeq M^{\Delta^1}(f) = T(f)$.*
2. *The mapping telescopes for I and Δ^1 are homotopy equivalent: $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f) \simeq \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$.*

Each map $I \to \Delta^1$ respecting the endpoints can be chosen to induce the homotopy equivalences. □

Remark A.8.7. While we can give the homotopy equivalences quite explicitly, the inverse is not canonical and not easy to write down since we used the Kan Extension property to construct it.

A.9 Homotopy commutative squares and induced maps on telescopes

To prove the rest of Theorem A.2.2 we need to know more about homotopy commutative diagrams. They will induce a map of (long) mapping telescopes, but it is only "functorial", in some sense we make precise, if we allow to change the intervals.

Definition A.9.1 (Homotopy commutative square)**.** *A square in $\mathcal{C}^G$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array} \tag{29}$$

*is **homotopy commutative** if there is an interval $I = I(0,i)$ and a **specified homotopy** $H^a\colon A[0,i] \to B$ which goes from $g \circ a$ to $a \circ f$. This should mean $H^a{}_{|A[0]} = g \circ a$ and $H^a{}_{|A[i]} = a \circ f$.*

Remark A.9.2. The homotopy of a homotopy commutative square always goes from the lower left corner to the upper right, it is helpful to visualize this as the diagram below when thinking about the homotopies.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & \overset{H}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

We chose the direction of the homotopy such that it will fit together with our definition of mapping cylinder.

The next observation is central for the rest of the proof.

Lemma/Definition A.9.3 (stacking squares)**.** *We can **stack** homotopy commutative squares. Given two homotopy commutative squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} \\ C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \end{array} \tag{30}$$

*with homotopies H^a, H^b using intervals I^a, I^b. Then the **stacked** square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b\circ a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b\circ a} \\ C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative with homotopy

$$(H^b \circ a[I^b]) \,\square (b \circ H^a) \colon A[I^b \,\square\, I^a] \to C.$$

Proof. The given homotopy is a homotopy from $h \circ b \circ a$ to $b \circ a \circ f$. □

A.9.4 Stacking is associative Stacking of homotopy commutative squares is strictly associative, because concatenation of homotopies is. The length of the homotopies add. We will consider a strictly commutative square as a homotopy commutative square where the homotopy has length 0.

We only stack in one direction of the two possible directions in which the squares could be stacked because we do not need the other case.

Lemma/Definition A.9.5 (Homotopy commutative squares and mapping cylinders)**.** *Let I be an interval. A homotopy commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array} \tag{31}$$

with homotopy $H\colon A[I] \to B$ *induces a map* $(H,a)_*\colon M^I(f) \to B$ *such that the following diagram commutes strictly.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & M^I(f) & \xleftarrow{\iota_1} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_B} & B \end{array} \tag{32}$$

Here ι_0 *is the front and* ι_1 *the back inclusion. Each such diagram determines uniquely the homotopy of* (31)*.*

Proof. The pushout of the strictly commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A[I] & \xleftarrow{\iota_1} & A[i] & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle H} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a\circ f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_B} & B & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_B} & B \end{array}$$

gives the map $(H,a)_*\colon M^I(f) \to B$ and then diagram (32) commutes. Conversely taking the map $A[I] \to M^I(f) \to B$ gets back the homotopy H and the commutativity of (32) shows that H makes the square (31) homotopy commutative. □

A.9.6 Induced map on longer mapping cylinders Let J be another interval. Consider the mapping cylinders of ι_0 and g from (32). We obtain a map $a[J]\,\square(H,a)_*\colon M^{J\,\square\,I}(f) \to M^J(g)$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & M^{J\,\square\,I}(f) & \xleftarrow{\iota_1} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & M^J(g) & \xleftarrow{\iota_1} & B \end{array} \tag{33}$$

We call the $a[J]\,\square(H,a)_*$ the *cylinder map with respect to* J of the homotopy commutative diagram (31).

Definition A.9.7 (Composition)**.** *Given maps* $f\colon A \to A$*,* $g\colon B \to B$ *and* $h\colon C \to C$ *as well as* $a\colon A \to B$ *and* $b\colon B \to C$*. Assume we have cylinder maps* $(H^a,a)_*\colon M^{I^a}(f) \to B$ *and* $(H^b,b)_*\colon M^{I^b}(g) \to C$ *like in Definition A.9.5 satisfying diagrams like* (32)*. Define the* ***composition*** $(H^a,a)_* \boxdot (H^b,b)_*$ *as*

$$M^{I^b\,\square\,I^a}(f) \xrightarrow{a[I^b]\,\square(H^a,a)_*} M^{I^b}(g) \xrightarrow{(H^b,b)_*} C$$

More generally, let J *be another interval. Assume we have cylinder maps with respect to* J*:*

$$a[J]\,\square(H^a,a)_*\colon M^{J\,\square\,I^a}(f) \to M^J(g) \quad \textit{and} \quad b[J]\,\square(H^b,b)_*\colon M^{J\,\square\,I^b}(g) \to M^J(h)$$

Define the ***composition with respect to*** J *as*

$$\Big(a[J]\,\square(H^a,a)_*\Big)\boxdot\Big(b[J]\,\square(H^b,b)_*\Big):$$
$$M^{J\,\square\,I^b\,\square\,I^a}(f)\xrightarrow{a[J]\,\square\,a[I^b]\,\square(H^a,a)_*}M^{J\,\square\,I^b}(g)\xrightarrow{b[J]\,\square(H^b,b)_*}M^J(h)$$

Lemma A.9.8. *Given two homotopy commutative squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}\quad,\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} \\ C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \end{array} \tag{34}$$

with homotopies $H^a\colon A[I^a]\to B$, $H^b\colon B[I^b]\to C$. *Then the cylinder map of the stacked homotopy commutative square (cf. A.9.3)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b\circ a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b\circ a} \\ C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \end{array}$$

is equal to the composition of the cylinder maps of the individual squares, i.e.,

$$\big((H^b\circ a[I^b])\,\square(b\circ H^a),b\circ a\big)_* = (H^b,b)_*\circ\Big(a[I^b]\,\square(H^a,a)_*\Big)$$

The same is true for cylinder maps with respect to J.

Proof. We have to check the equality of two maps $M^{J\,\square\,I^b\,\square\,I^a}(f)\to M^J(h)$. Figure 2 shows the situation. With its help for the bookkeeping the equality can be checked directly. □

A.9.9 Induced maps on telescopes Everything from A.9.5 on transfers immediately to mapping telescopes, by gluing the parts together. In particular, if we have homotopy commutative squares like in A.9.8.(34) we obtain maps

$$(H^a,a)_*\colon\ \mathrm{Tel}^{J\,\square\,I^a}(f)\to\mathrm{Tel}^J(g),$$
$$(H^b,b)_*\colon\ \mathrm{Tel}^{J\,\square\,I^b}(g)\to\mathrm{Tel}^J(h).$$

and their composition

$$(H^b,b)_*\boxdot(H^a,a)_*\colon\ \mathrm{Tel}^{J\,\square\,I^b\,\square\,I^a}(f)\to\mathrm{Tel}^J(h)$$

which is the same as the induced map of the stacking of the homotopy commutative squares. The map $(H,a)_*$ commutes with the front inclusion ι from A.8.2.



Figure 2: Composition of maps of long mapping cylinders. Shows the mapping cylinder construction is "functorial" if performed with long cylinders.

We can specialize to H being the trivial homotopy of length 0 and $J := \Delta^1$. Then we obtain the strict functoriality of $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(-)$ from Theorem A.2.2 (2).

One the other hand we can consider the square given by $f = g$ and $a = \mathrm{id}_A$ being homotopy commutative with trivial homotopy $\mathrm{Tr}\colon A[I] \to A$, for I any interval. The resulting map $(\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$ is induced by the projection $\Delta^1 \square I \to \Delta^1$ mapping I to $I(1) \subseteq \Delta^1$.

A.10 A homotopy criterion for maps on $\mathrm{Tel}(-)$

We need a criterion when two homotopy commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{a}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{a}} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with homotopies H^a and $H^{\widetilde{a}}$ induce homotopic maps on mapping telescopes. Assume:

A.10.1 Homotopy conditions

1. H^a and $H^{\widetilde{a}}$ have the same length and are indexed over the same interval $I = I(0, i)$. We can arrange this by extending with trivial homotopies.
2. There is a homotopy $H\colon A[J] \to B$ from a to $\widetilde{a}$.
3. There is "2-homotopy" $G\colon A[I][J] \to B$ from H^a to $H^{\widetilde{a}}$ which restricts on $I(0) \times J$ to $g \circ H$ and on $I(i) \times J$ to $H \circ f[J]$.

The last condition can be visualized for $I = J = \Delta^1$ by writing $G\colon A[\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1] \to B$ in our diagram language as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g \circ a & \xrightarrow{H^a} & a \circ f \\ {\scriptstyle g\circ H}\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H\circ f[J]} \\ g \circ \widetilde{a} & \xrightarrow[H^{\widetilde{a}}]{} & \widetilde{a} \circ f \end{array} . \tag{35}$$

Lemma A.10.2 (Homotopy criterion)**.** *If the conditions from A.10.1 are satisfied, the two induced maps* $(H^a, a)_*, (H^{\widetilde{a}}, \widetilde{a})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \,\square\, I}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g)$ *are homotopic. The homotopy is* $(G, H)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \,\square\, I}(f)[J] \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g)$.

Proof. Interpreting G as homotopy from $g \circ H$ to $H \circ f[J]$ gives a homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[J] & \xrightarrow{f[J]} & A[J] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle H} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H} \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with homotopy $G\colon (A[J])[I] \to B$. We obtain a map $(G, H)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \,\square\, I}(f[J]) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g)$. As the telescope is a colimit it commutes with adjoining an interval, hence we can write the domain of the induced map as $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \,\square\, I}(f)[J]$. Therefore, $(G, H)_*$ is a homotopy. We leave it to the reader to check that it is the desired one. □

Remark A.10.3. Thanks to Lemma A.10.2 we only need to provide diagrams like A.10.1.(35) to prove that maps on telescopes are homotopic. To simplify the diagram we will usually assume that all intervals have length 1 and are ordered, cf. Remark A.5.8.

A.11 On Theorem A.2.2.(3)

Lemma A.11.1 (Homotopic maps give equivalent telescopes)**.** *Let $f, g\colon A \to A$ be homotopic maps. Then $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g)$ are homotopy equivalent. The homotopy equivalences are relative to the front inclusions.*

Proof. Let $H\colon A[I] \to A$ be the homotopy from g to f. We get two homotopy commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & A \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

with homotopies $H\colon A[I] \to A$ and $\overline{H}\colon A[\overline{I}] \to A$ (where the latter is the inverse homotopy, cf. Section A.5.5). This gives maps $(H, \mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g)$ and $(\overline{H}, \mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I}}(g) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$. The composition

$$(\overline{H}, \mathrm{id})_* \boxdot (H, \mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I} \square I}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$$

(cf. A.9.9) is induced by the homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

with homotopy $\overline{H} \square H\colon A[\overline{I} \square I] \to A$. By Lemma A.5.7, that homotopy is homotopic relative endpoints to the trivial homotopy, hence Lemma A.10.2 shows that $(\overline{H}, \mathrm{id})_* \boxdot (H, \mathrm{id})_*$ is homotopic to $(\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{id})_*$. The same holds for the other composition.

As $(\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{id})_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence induced by the projection $\Delta^1 \square I \to \Delta^1$ we obtain two homotopy commutative triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(f) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f) \\ {\scriptstyle (H,\mathrm{id})_*}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & \\ \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g) & & \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I}}(g) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(g) \\ {\scriptstyle (\overline{H},\mathrm{id})_*}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & \\ \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(f) & & \end{array}$$

where φ is defined using a chosen homotopy inverse of the horizontal map and ψ similarly. We claim both compositions of these maps are homotopic to the

identity. Together with these triangles we obtain a large diagram



where the square is strictly commutative. The left vertical composition is the composition $(\overline{H}, \mathrm{id})_* \boxdot (H, \mathrm{id})_*$ which is homotopic to $(\mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{id})_*$, which is exactly the composition of the upper horizontal maps. It follows that $\psi \circ \varphi \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and similarly for the other composition.

As the homotopy inverses in the definition of ψ and φ can be chosen to respect the front inclusion by Lemma A.8.6 and all other maps and homotopies are relative to it φ is a homotopy equivalence relative to the front inclusion. □

A.12 On Theorem A.2.2.(6)

A.12.1 The shift map Recall that $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$ is a quotient of $\coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^I(f)$. The map taking the nth component to the $(n+1)$st component is compatible with the quotient, hence induces a map $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$, which we will call the *shift map* and denote it by sh.

Lemma A.12.2. *Let I be an interval, $f\colon A \to A$ a self-map. The maps* sh *and* $(\mathrm{Tr}, f)_*$ *from* $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$ *to* $\mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$ *are homotopy inverse:*

$$(\mathrm{Tr}, f)_* \circ \mathrm{sh} = \mathrm{sh} \circ (\mathrm{Tr}, f)_* \simeq \mathrm{id}\colon \mathrm{Tel}^I(f) \to \mathrm{Tel}^I(f)$$

Sketch of proof. The first equality is clear. For the homotopy one restricts the map of telescopes to a map $M^I(f) \to M^I(f) \cup_A M^I(f)$, which maps into the second summand. Then one can construct a simplicial homotopy from this map to the map "inclusion of the first summand". Namely, the two inclusions $I \to I \square I$ give two maps $A[I] \to A[I \square I]$ which are homotopic by "sliding". The desired homotopy arises from this homotopy. The details are left to the reader. □

A.12.3 Telescopes of coherent homotopy idempotents In the following we consider coherent homotopy idempotents.

Lemma A.12.4. *Let $\eta\colon K \to K$ be a* ***coherent*** *homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Then the induced map $\eta_* = (\mathrm{Tr}, \eta)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$ is homotopic to the identity.*

Proof. We show $\eta_* \circ \eta_* \simeq \eta_*$, then by Lemma A.12.2 we have $\eta_* \circ \mathrm{sh} \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and therefore, $\eta_* \simeq \eta_* \circ \eta_* \circ \mathrm{sh} \simeq \eta_* \circ \mathrm{sh} \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and we are done.

Assume that $H\colon A[I] \to A$ is the homotopy from η^2 to η and for simplicity assume $I = \Delta^1$. As η is coherent we have a diagram



Using this diagram we construct the following diagram, which gives a 2-homotopy G.



Lemma A.10.2 shows that $(G, H)_*$ is a homotopy from $(\mathrm{Tr}, \eta^2)_*$ to $(\mathrm{Tr}, \eta)_*$, which are maps $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I \square \overline{I}}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$. But $\Delta^1 \square I \square \overline{I} \to \Delta^1$ induces a homotopy equivalence on telescopes such that the triangle for η_* below, as well as one for η_*^2 commute strictly.



Therefore, the maps on the cylinder of the same lengths are homotopic, too. □

A.13 On Theorem A.2.2.(7)

Lemma A.13.1. *Let $\eta\colon K \to K$ be a coherent homotopy idempotent in $\mathcal{C}^G$. Then there is a map $c\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) \to K$ such that the composition $\iota \circ c$ with the inclusion $\iota\colon K \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$ is homotopic to the identity on $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$, whereas the other composition $c \circ \iota$ is homotopic to $\eta\colon K \to K$.*

Proof. Let $H\colon A[I] \to A$ be the homotopy from η^2 to η. We obtain two

homotopy commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ K & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K \end{array}$$

with homotopies $H\colon A[I] \to A$ and $\overline{H}\colon A[\overline{I}\,] \to A$. Hence, we obtain two induced maps

$$(H,\eta)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(\mathrm{id}_K) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$$
$$(\overline{H},\eta)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I}}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K).$$

Consider the composition (A.9.7)

$$(H,\eta)_* \boxdot (\overline{H},\eta)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I \square \overline{I}}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$$

which by Lemma A.9.8 is equal to $(H \circ \eta[I] \square \eta \circ \overline{H}, \eta^2)_*$. As the η is coherent we have a map $A[I \times I] \to A$ which is on the boundary of I^2 as shown below.



(36)

Thus by putting two copies of the above square together as shown below we obtain a 2-homotopy G from $H \circ \eta[I] \square \eta \circ \overline{H}$ to Tr as shown below.



Lemma A.10.2 $(G,H)_*$ provides a homotopy from the composition $(H,\eta)_* \boxdot (\overline{H},\eta)_*$ to the map $(\mathrm{Tr},\eta)_*$. Similarly, the other composition $(\overline{H},\eta)_* \boxdot (H,\eta)_*$ is homotopic to $(\mathrm{Tr},\eta)_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I} \square I}(\mathrm{id}_K) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ using the 2-homotopy



where X is the diagonal in diagram (36) and the upper left and right triangles are also from (36).

Now we make $(H,\eta)_*$ and $(\overline{H},\eta)_*$ into maps of telescopes of the same length as in the proof of Lemma A.11.1. Define $\widetilde{c}$ and $\widetilde{\iota}$ by choosing a homotopy inverse in the top row of the following diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square \overline{I}}(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) \\ {\scriptstyle (\overline{H},\eta)_*}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{c}} & \\ \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K) & & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1 \square I}(\mathrm{id}_K) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K) \\ {\scriptstyle (H,\eta)_*}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\iota}} & \\ \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) & & \end{array}$$

A similar argument as at the end of the proof of Lemma A.11.1 shows that $\widetilde{c} \circ \widetilde{\iota}$ is homotopic to $\eta_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ and $\widetilde{\iota} \circ \widetilde{c}$ is homotopic to $\eta_*\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$. Lemma A.12.4 shows that on $\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)$ the map η_* is homotopic to the identity.

Now $\iota_{\mathrm{id}_K}\colon K \to \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\mathrm{id}_K)$ is a homotopy equivalence and even an inclusion for a deformation retraction pr by Lemma A.8.6. Define c as the composition $\mathrm{pr} \circ \widetilde{c}\colon \mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta) \to K$, note $\widetilde{\iota} \circ \iota_{\mathrm{id}_K} = \iota_\eta$. Then $\iota \circ c = \widetilde{\iota} \circ \iota_{\mathrm{id}_K} \circ \mathrm{pr} \circ \widetilde{c}$ is homotopic to $\widetilde{\iota} \circ \widetilde{c}$ and hence to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tel}^{\Delta^1}(\eta)}$ and $c \circ \iota = \mathrm{pr} \circ \widetilde{c} \circ \widetilde{\iota} \circ \iota_{\mathrm{id}_K}$ is homotopic to $\eta\colon K \to K$. This shows the lemma. □

References

[Bar13] Arthur Bartels. On proofs of the Farrell-Jones Conjecture. *arXiv*, math.GT, March 2013, 1210.1044v2.

[BFJR04] A. Bartels, T. Farrell, L. Jones, and H. Reich. On the isomorphism conjecture in algebraic K-theory. *Topology*, 43(1):157–213, 2004.

[BLR08a] Arthur Bartels, Wolfgang Lück, and Holger Reich. The K-theoretic Farrell-Jones conjecture for hyperbolic groups. *Invent. Math.*, 172(1):29–70, 2008.

[BLR08b] Arthur Bartels, Wolfgang Lück, and Holger Reich. On the Farrell-Jones conjecture and its applications. *J. Topol.*, 1(1):57–86, 2008.

[BLRR13] Arthur Bartels, Wolfgang Lück, Holger Reich, and Henrik Rüping. K- and L-theory of group rings over $GL_n(Z)$. *arXiv*, math.KT, May 2013, 1204.2418v2.

[Bor94] F. Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 1*, volume 50 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Basic category theory.

[Bro73] Kenneth S. Brown. Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 186:419–458, 1973.

[CP97] M. Cárdenas and E. K. Pedersen. On the Karoubi filtration of a category. *K-Theory*, 12(2):165–191, 1997.

[EKMM97] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, volume 47 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. With an appendix by M. Cole.

[FJ87] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones. K-theory and dynamics. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 126(3):451–493, 1987.

[FJ93] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones. Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K-theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(2):249–297, 1993.

[Fre03] Peter J. Freyd. Abelian categories. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, 3:1–190, 2003. Reprint of the 1964 edition.

[FW13] Tom Farrell and Xiaolei Wu. Farrell-Jones Conjecture for the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups. *arXiv*, math.GT, April 2013, 1304.4779v1.

[GJ99] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.

[Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[HH82] H. M. Hastings and A. Heller. Homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85(4):619–622, 1982.

[HSS00] Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith. Symmetric spectra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1):149–208, 2000.

[LR05] W. Lück and H. Reich. The Baum-Connes and the Farrell-Jones conjectures in K- and L-theory. In *Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2*, pages 703–842. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[MMSS01] M. A. Mandell, J. P. May, S. Schwede, and B. Shipley. Model categories of diagram spectra. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 82(2):441–512, 2001.

[Ped00] Erik Kjær Pedersen. Controlled algebraic K-theory, a survey. In *Geometry and topology: Aarhus (1998)*, volume 258 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 351–368. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[PW85] E. K. Pedersen and C. A. Weibel. A nonconnective delooping of algebraic K-theory. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 166–181. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[Sta89] R. E. Staffeldt. On fundamental theorems of algebraic K-theory. *K-Theory*, 2(4):511–532, 1989.

[tD87] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation groups*, volume 8 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.

[TT90] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, volume 88 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[Ull11] Mark Ullmann. Controlled algebra for simplicial rings and the algebraic K-theory assembly map. Thesis, Düsseldorf, available from `http://docserv.uni-duesseldorf.de/servlets/DocumentServlet?id=17133`, 2011.

[Vog90] W. Vogell. Algebraic K-theory of spaces, with bounded control. *Acta Math.*, 165(3-4):161–187, 1990.

[Wal] F. Waldhausen. Lecture: Algebraische Topologie. Unpublished lecture notes (german), available from `www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~fw`.

[Wal78] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of topological spaces. I. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, pages 35–60. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978.

[Wal85] F. Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 318–419. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[Weg13] Christian Wegner. The Farrell-Jones Conjecture for virtually solvable groups. *arXiv*, math.GT, August 2013, 1308.2432v2.

[Wei02] M. Weiss. Excision and restriction in controlled K-theory. *Forum Math.*, 14(1):85–119, 2002.

[Wei13] Charles A. Weibel. *The K-book*, volume 145 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. An introduction to algebraic K-theory.

[Zak10] Inna Zakharevich. Cofinal inclusions of waldhausen categories. http://mathoverflow.net/questions/23515/cofinal-inclusions-of-waldhausen-categories, May 2010. Question on mathoverflow.net.